Annual Report 2012-13

Integrated Water and Resource

Management for Improved Productivity of Farming Systems through Participatory Research-cum-Demonstration in Madhya Pradesh and Jharkhand States of India

> Submitted to Sir Ratan Tata Trust



T International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics

Integrated Water and Resource Management for Improved Productivity of Farming Systems through Participatory Research cum Demonstration in Madhya Pradesh and Jharkhand States of India

> **Annual Report** (2012 - 13)

Submitted to Sir Ratan Tata Trust (SRTT) Mumbai



CRISAT International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics

Patancheru 502 324, Andhra Pradesh, India

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | 01 |
|---|----|
| Background | 03 |
| Target Eco-regions | 03 |
| Jharkhand | 05 |
| Productivity Enhancement Interventions | 05 |
| Weather Monitoring | 05 |
| 2011 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 06 |
| 2011-12 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 09 |
| 2012 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 10 |
| 2012-13 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 14 |
| Interventions during 2013-14 | 18 |
| Other Income Generating Activities | 20 |
| Horticulture Plantations and Vegetable Cultivation | 20 |
| Vermicomposting | 21 |
| Biomass Generation for Soil Fertility Management | 23 |
| Village Seed Bank | 24 |
| Water Harvesting Ponds for Lifting Agriculture | 24 |
| Green gram as Summer Crop for Additional Income | 25 |
| Capacity Building Activities | 26 |
| Farmer Trainings | 26 |
| Farmers' Days and Field Visit | 30 |
| Exposure Visit to ICRISAT | 30 |
| Scaling up Activities in Jharkhand during 2012-13 | 32 |
| Visit of SRTT Review Team to Gumla | 34 |
| Madhya Pradesh | 35 |
| Productivity Enhancement Interventions | 35 |
| Weather Monitoring | 35 |
| 2011-12 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 35 |
| 2012 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 38 |
| 2012-13 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 40 |
| 2013 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials | 43 |
| Other Income Generating Activities | 44 |
| Diversification including with High-value Vegetables with Micronutrient | 44 |
| Forage Cultivation | 45 |
| Animal Deworming/Vaccination and Health Camp | 45 |
| Vermicomposting | 46 |
| Biomass Generation for Soil Fertility Management | 47 |
| Village Seed Bank | 48 |
| Activities during 2013-14 | 48 |
| Capacity Building Activities | 49 |
| Farmer Training | 50 |
| Farmers' Days and Field Visit | 50 |
| Training cum Exposure Visits to ICRISAT | 51 |
| Capacity Building during 2013-14 | 52 |
| Conclusions | 52 |
| Success Stories | 53 |

Executive Summary

Under the Sir Ratan Tata Trust (SRTT) supported, science-led interventions have been scaled-up in a large number of farmers' fields. This report covers the work undertaken from 2011 rainy season to 2012-13 postrainy in Jharkhand, and from 2011-12 post-rainy season to 2012-13 postrainy in Madhya Pradesh. Results showed that farmers benefited by adopting soil test-based balanced nutrition (BN) approach over the farmer's practice (FP) in terms of increased grain and straw yield of paddy, maize, pigeonpea, black gram, soybean, groundnut, chickpea and wheat crops. However, the adoption of an integrated nutrient management (INM) strategy by partially substituting chemical fertilizers with vermicompost proved better than the balanced nutrition treatment. Residual effects of sulfur (S), boron (B) and zinc (Zn) were recorded; ; and the residual effects of balanced nutrition lasted up to three seasons after application.

Chickpea cultivation in the postrainy fallow lands along with seed priming proved effective in extending the crop to postrainy fallows, resulting in significant increase in farmers' incomes. Growing of improved varieties of chickpea gave grain yield ranging from 1280 to 1520 kg ha⁻¹. Trials with improved varieties of other crops also out-yielded the local cultivars. For example, the yield with improved cultivars of pigeonpea ranged from 760 to 2290 kg ha⁻¹; while those with improved black gram cultivars ranged from 710 to 790 kg ha⁻¹

During April 2012, soil samples were collected from farmers' fields of the newly added districts of Deoghar and Hazaribagh in Jharkhand and Keonjhar in Orissa. The results of analyses of these samples in the ICRISAT laboratory once more revealed widespread deficiencies of S, B and Zn. Nutrient management strategy was developed at a block level in the target cluster of villages; and this included applications of S, B and Zn in along with N, P and K. As usual, we applied full rate of a nutrient if its deficiency was prevalent in > 50% farmers' fields, and half the rate was added if its deficiency was on <50% farms. The fertilizer recommendations for N, P and K were modified from those recommended by the State Agricultural Universities (SAUs) by considering the actual soil nutrient status at the block level. The rates of applications of S, B and Zn were 30 kg S, 10 kg Zn and 0.5 kg B, applied every alternate years..

Village-based seed banks in Mandla and Jhabua districts in MP, and Gumla and Saraikela districts in Jharkhand have been producing seeds of improved varieties of chickpea, wheat, groundnut, pigeonpea soybean and maize. In Jhabua district, "Kisan Laxmi Beej Udpadak Sahkari Samiti Maryadit" has been operating in Gadwara village; and during 2012 rainy season produced and sold 2000 kg of JS 9560 and 1000 kg of JS 9305 soybean seeds to the department of agriculture. In Jhabua district, "Makka Vikas Samiti" operated by 20 members at Chota Guda village, also distributed 2000 kg seeds of improved maize (JVM 421) to 500 farmers and helped replace the traditional low yielding varieties. Overall, this effort helped farmers to use good quality seeds of improved cultivars of crops.

To generate biomass for improving soil fertility, 10000 N-rich *Gliricidia* seedlings were raised in Gumla and 6000 seedlings in Sariekela-Kharshaw districts of Hharkhand by women self-help groups and youth club members.

Quality fodder production was promoted by 19 farmers in Jhabua district and 4 farmers in Mandla district. One animal health camp each in Jhabua and Mandla districts were also organized. During poultry vaccination camp in Mandla, around 628 chicks/birds were vaccinated. One animal health camp was also organized in Jhabua district where 145 animals belonging to 115 farmers were treated.

To ensure sustainability of best practices, farmers were made aware on the importance of of soil test based nutrient management, use of vermicomposting, INM, *Gliricidia*; nursery raising, kitchen gardening, landform management, seed priming in chickpea, improved crop varieties, seed storage among other best crop management practices. Through farmer training, around 2000 farmers were trained during 2011-12 and 2012-13 in the Jharkhand state. Similarly, >200 farmers were trained in Madhya PRadesh during 2012-13. One farmer day at a large scale was organized in Gumla during 2012-13 in which >450 farmers participated; while four farmer days were organized in Madhya Pradesh, two each in Jhabua and Mandla, in which around 450 farmers participated. A three- day program of Exposure Visit to the ICRISAT center, Patancheru was organized for 29 farmers from Jhabua and Mandla during ²⁸⁻³⁰ January, 2013. Similarly, an exposure visit to the ICRISAT was arranged for 46 farmers from Sariekela-Kharsawan, Bokaro and Jamshedpur districts of Jharkhand during 8 - 13 June, 2013. These visits immensely benefited the farmers by broadening their experience and perception.

Following discussions with CINI, two new districts of Deoghar and Hazaribagh in Jharkhand and one of Keonjhar in Orissa have been included for scaling-up the best-bet options.

Background

Current farmers' yields of rainfed crops are lower by two to four folds than the yield from researcher managed or commercial plots. In order to meet the food demand and reduce poverty, there is an urgent need to unlock the potential of rainfed agriculture in general and particularly in target regions in Central India. Soil degradation in target regions in terms of soil infertility is a major factor for low crop yields. Low cropping intensity in eastern district of MP and extensive tracts of land in the Jharkhand state due to fallow after harvest of the rainy season crop is another reason for low overall production and benefits to farmers in the region. However sufficient stored moisture remains in the soil for growing a post-rainy season crop, and so the potential for double cropping in these regions remains untapped due to the lack of availability of proper technology. Therefore, appropriate soil, nutrient, water and crop management practices that increase crop yield and conserve natural resources in the rainfed areas of MP and Jharkhand assume greater significance. In order to bridge the yield gap, ICRISAT and its partners have adopted Integrated Genetic and Natural Resource Management (IGNRM) and associated livelihood activities guided by Inclusive Market Oriented Development (IMOD) philosophy leading to linking farmers with markets and reaping in the benefits of productivity enhancement initiative.

The overall objective of this project is to increase the impact of the development projects in Central India through technical backstopping and empowerment of stakeholders to improve livelihoods through increased agricultural productivity and livelihood opportunities via sustainable use of natural resources.

The specific objectives of this technical assistance program are:

- To establish a holistic participatory IGNRM model for the convergence of activities in four nucleus clusters (five villages in each cluster) encompassing suitable technical, institutional, gender equity, and policy options for enhanced agricultural productivity and crop-livestock management systems to alleviate poverty; and
- To provide technical know-how to farmers, landless rural people in the target districts, and partner NGOs supported by the SRTT in the region through empowerment by bringing together learnings from the national and international experience.

Target Eco-regions

The target districts for pilot scale interventions are Gumla and Saraikela-Kharshaw in Jharkhand and Jhabua and Mandla in Madhya Pradesh. Annual rainfall in Jharkhand varies from 1000 to 1600 mm, while in Madhya Pradesh it varies from 600 to 1600 mm. About 70-80 per cent of the annual rainfall is received during the southwest monsoon period (June-September). Soils are predominantly black (Vertisols, Vertic Inceptisols), as well as Entisols and Alfisols varying in soil depth. Jhabua and Mandla districts receive annual rainfall of 885 and 1580 mm respectively, while Gumla and Saraikela-Kharshaw receive 1100 and 1400 mm respectively. These districts in general are dominated by an agrarian economy. Jamshedpur is however known for its industrial development. There are six agro-ecological sub regions (AESR) in Jharkhand, and seven in Madhya Pradesh as per the NBSS and LUP. Length of growing period (LGP) varies from 120-240 days in the target eco-region (Figure 1).

Temperatures of above 45°C are common in the summer months while in winter they could be as low as 10°C.



Figure 1. Target eco-region of Madhya Pradesh and Jharkhand: AESR

The ICRISAT facilitates the implementation of the project in partnership with national research institutions, universities and NGOs. The details of sites and partners for various activities undertaken during 2011 and 2012 are given in Table 1.

| S. No | State | District | Block | NGO-Partner |
|-------|-----------|------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Jharkhand | Gumla | Raideh | Tata Rural Development Trust |
| 1 | | Saraikela- Kharshaw | Kharshaw | PRADHAN- Gumla, Jharkand |
| 2 | Madhya | Jhabua | Jhabua and Meghnagar | Gramin Vikas Trust (GVT) Jhabhua, MP |
| 2 | Pradesh | Mandla | Nivas | Foundation for Ecological Security (FES) - Mandla MP. |

| | <i>c</i> | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|-------------|------------|------------------|
| Table 1. Detail | of target sites and | concerned | partner in | target districts |
| | | 00110011104 | parener m | |

In addition to the NGO partners, we have important partners such as state agricultural universities (Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishva Vidyalaya, Jabalpur; M Ahilyabai Holkar Agril. Univ.; BAU, Ranchi); national research institutes (Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal. M.P; National Research Center for Soybean, Indore), and Jain Irrigation Ltd., Jalgaon, Maharashtra in the consortium, and integrated programs like Integrated Watershed Management Programs in target states, All India Coordinated Research Project for Dryland Areas (AICRPDA-CRIDA).

Jharkhand

Productivity Enhancement Interventions

Weather Monitoring

In Jharkhand, weather monitoring using water recording censors and dual recording rainguages, rainfall and other weather parameters including minimum and maximum temperature and relative humidity were recorded from two villages - Teleya in Gumla district and Sherbida village for Saraikela-Kharshaw district. The rainfall received during the year 2011 and 2012 is given in Table 2.

During 2011, a good rainfall was received especially in Saraikela-Kharshaw district and by June month all sowing/planting operations were done. Upland crops like maize, black gram and pigeon pea were sown during the month of June. In Gumla district, there was some delay in receiving rainfall and planting paddy and other crops was delayed. However, later on good rains were received. Again there were good rain events in September month and few rain events in October at Gumla and Saraikela. Rabi crops were grown with residual moisture and also with supplemental irrigation from seepage ponds having sufficient stored water.

During the year 2012 rainfall received until September month 2012 is 935 mm and 227 mm in Gumla and Saraikela districts, respectively. The rainfall is in general normal in Gumla with good distribution over the growing period, but it is very less and quite below the normal rainfall at Saraikela-Kharshaw.

| Month | Gumla | ı (mm) | Saraikela-Kharshaw (mm) | | |
|-----------|-------|--------------------|-------------------------|-------|--|
| | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | |
| Jan | 0 | 8.5 | 0 | 0 | |
| Feb | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| March | 8 | 20.0 | 0 | 0 | |
| April | 0 | 7.5 | 20 | 0 | |
| May | 65 | 25.0 | 33 | 0 | |
| June | 65 | 190.0 | 384 | 91 | |
| July | 150 | 210.0 | 162 | 111 | |
| August | 536 | 270.0 | 334 | 233 | |
| September | 515 | 194.0 | 295 | 308 | |
| October | 20 | 7.0 | 32 | 2 | |
| November | 18 | 0.0 | - | 0 | |
| December | 0 | 13.0 | - | 5.2 | |
| Total | 1377 | 935 (till 30 Sept) | 1260 | 749.8 | |

Table 2. Monthly rainfall received during the year 2011 and 2012 at Teleya, Gumla districtand at Sherbida, Saraikela-Kharshaw district in Jharkand

2011 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

As an effort to promote improved crop cultivars and balanced fertilization, ICRISAT arranged to provide through NGO partners the seeds of improved crop cultivars and micronutrients during rainy season 2011 viz, 990 kg maize seed (Kavei 235, Bio-seed 9220 and TX 369), 80

kg pigeonpea (ICPL 7035, ICPL 87119), 150 groundnut (ICGV 91114), 392 kg blackgram (T9), 4 kg *Gliricidia* seed, 7500 kg zinc sulphate and 750 kg agribor.

We adopted participatory research and development (PR and D) approach with farmers to demonstrate and evaluate the science-led interventions.

Paddy crop in wet lands

Trials were conducted with paddy crop to demonstrate and evaluate the benefits through addition of micronutrients boron (agribor), and zinc (zinc sulphate) along with DAP and FYM in different villages in Gumla (16 villages) and Saraikela-Kharshaw (8 villages) districts.

In Gumla district, paddy grown under improved (balanced nutrient) management which also included micro-nutrients, yielded on an average 6740 kg ha⁻¹ as compared with 6110 kg ha⁻¹ under the farmers' management practice (Table 3), thus recording an increase of 10% under the improved management.

Similarly in Saraikela-Kharshaw district, paddy grown with addition of micro-nutrients on an average yielded 4100 kg ha⁻¹ as against 3620 kg ha⁻¹ under farmers' traditional practice. Improved management as such recorded an increase of 13.5% over the farmers' practice (Table 3; Figure 2). The improved management practice through maintained soil fertility is helping in growing an additional crop of chickpea crop in winter with residual moisture which is benefitting farmers with additional income.



Figure 2. Paddy fields with micronutrient application in Masoodhi village, Saraikela-Kharshaw district during rainy season 2011.

Table 3. Effects of balanced nutrient management on rice grain yield during rainy season

 2011

| District | No. of | Area No. of Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) % gain i | | Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | % gain in | LSD |
|--------------------|---------|---|----------|------------------------------------|------|-------------|------|
| | farmers | (ha) | villages | FP | BN | grain yield | (5%) |
| Saraikela-Kharshaw | 186 | 120 | 8 | 3620 | 4100 | 13.5 | 129 |
| Gumla | 254 | 96 | 16 | 6110 | 6740 | 10.2 | 120 |

Maize cultivation in midland and uplands

Maize cultivation was introduced in this area which has given good yield with farmer's practice of FYM+ DAP+ Urea. Therefore, trials/demonstrations were conducted during rainy season 2011 to evaluate the addition of deficient micronutrients (zinc and Boron) over and above the farmers' practice.

In Saraikela district, the benefits of micronutrients were evaluated in different maize varieties (Bio seed 9220, Kaveri 235; TX 13) grown in 13 villages. The benefits were evident in productivity improvement in all varieties varying from 18% to 27% (Table 4; Figure 3). On an average, maize productivity improved by 24% with micronutrient addition over the farmers practice.

Table 4. Effects of micronutrients on maize grain yield in 13 villages of Saraikela-Kharshawdistrict, Jharkhand during rainy season 2011

| S. | Maize Variety | No of | Area under | Yield Kg ha⁻¹ | | % | LSD | | |
|------|---|---------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------|--|--|
| No | | Farmers | treatment (Acre) | Farmer practice | Improved Practice | increase over FP | (5%) | | |
| 1 | Bio seed 9220 | 16 | 1.6 | 5100 | 6460 | 27 | 1133 | | |
| 2 | Kaveri 235 | 24 | 2.15 | 5250 | 6180 | 18 | 968 | | |
| 3 | TX 369 | 13 | 1.45 | 5130 | 6500 | 27 | 983 | | |
| | Total | 53 | 5.2 | | | | | | |
| | Mean | | | 5160 | 6380 | 24 | | | |
| Note | Note: FP=Farmer Practice-DAP 150 kg, Urea 100 kg, Potash 25 kg, | | | | | | | | |

IP= Improved Practice = FP+ Zinc Sulphate 25 kg, Agribor 2.5 kg, lime 200 kg



Figure 3. Maize crop grown in farmer's field in Jojo village of Saraikela –Kharshaw district during rainy season 2011

Similarly in Gumla district, the application of micronutrients was evaluated in 3 maize varieties viz. Bio seed 9220, Kaveri 235; and local grown in 6 villages. In Gumla, the balanced nutrition recorded an increase of 28% to 46% over the farmers practice (Table 5).

| Table 5. Effects of micronutrients on maize grain yield in 6 villages of Gumla district, | Jharkhand |
|--|-----------|
| during rainy season 2011 | |

| S.No | Maize Variety | No of Farmers | Area under treatment | Average Yield (Kg ha⁻¹) | | % increase | LSD (5%) | | | |
|---|--|------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|----------|---------------|-------------|--|--|--|
| | | | (Acre) | Farmer | Improved | over FP | | | | |
| | | | | practice | Practice | | | | | |
| 1 | Bio seed 9220 | 26 | 14.2 | 2500 | 3560 | 42 | 844 | | | |
| 2 | Kaveri 235 | 49 | 33.25 | 2460 | 3580 | 46 | 533 | | | |
| 3 | Local | 12 | 2 | 2220 | 2850 | 28 | 376 | | | |
| | Total | 87 | 49.45 | | | | | | | |
| | Mean | | | 2390 | 3330 | 39 | | | | |
| Note: FP = Farmer Practice-DAP 125 kg, Urea 100 kg, Potash-25 kg, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | IP = Improved Practice = FP+ Zinc Sulphate 25 kg, Agribor 2.5 kg | | | | | | | | | |

Pigeonpea and blackgram in midland and uplands

During rainy season 2011, high yielding varieties of pigeonpea were evaluated by 21 farmers in 6 villages of Saraikela-Kharshaw district; while high yielding varieties of blackgram were also evaluated by 45 farmers in 12 villages in Saraikela-Kharsha and 59 farmers in 8 villages in Gumla district.

In Saraikela district, pigeonpea crop was grown with recommended inputs of DAP, MOP, Urea, FYM, zinc sulphate and agribor. The crop varieties proved very successful and recorded a yield of 1320 kg ha⁻¹ with ICPL 87119 variety in Saraikela block and 1380 kg ha⁻¹ with ICP 7035 in Kharshaw block (Table 6).

| lable 6. | Pigeonpea | yield in | aitterent | villages of | of Saraikela | district, | Jharkhand | during | rainy | season |
|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--------|-------|--------|
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Name of the Block | Pigeonpea variety | No of farmers | No of villages | Area under trials (Acre) | Average Yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
|-----------|-------------------|----------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| 1 | Saraikela | ICPL 87119 | 12 | 3 | 13.5 | 1320 |
| 2 | Kharshaw | ICP 7035 | 9 | 3 | 3.24 | 1380 |
| | Total | | 21 | 6 | 16.74 | |

Similarly Black gram T 9 variety was grown by many farmers with addition of micronutrients (zinc, boron) along with DAP, Urea and FYM. The yield obtained was very good compared to local variety and the yields were almost double and crop matured early. The average yields were 710 kg ha⁻¹ in Saraikela-Kharshaw and 790 kg ha⁻¹ in Gumla district during rainy season 2011 (Table 7).

| S. | District | No of | No of | Trial area | Black gram yield |
|-----|------------------------|---------|----------|------------|------------------|
| No. | | farmers | Villages | (acre) | (kg ha⁻¹) |
| 1 | Saraikela- Kharshaw | 45 | 12 | 18.64 | 710 |
| 2 | Gumla | 59 | 8 | 10.3 | 790 |
| | Total | 104 | 20 | 28.94 | |

 Table 7. Blackgram yield in different villages of Saraikela and Gumla district, Jharkhand during rainy season 2011

2011-12 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

As an effort to promote crop cultivars and balanced fertilization, ICRISAT arranged to provide through NGO partners the seeds of improved crop cultivars and micronutrients like zinc and boron during post-rainy season 2011-12 (Table 4) – 1425 kg chickpea seed (KAK 2, ICCV 2, JG 11), 300 kg greengram (PS 16, LGG 460), 5 kg *Rhizobium* culture, 1 kg sodium molybdate, 6 kg captan (fungicide), 200 kg zinc sulphate and 200 kg agribor.

During post-rainy season 2011, improved chickpea cultivar like KAK 2, ICCV 2and desi type JG11 which were promising last year were used for cultivation this year. Chickpea trials were conducted in 50 farmer fields in 8 villages of Saraikela-Kharshaw district. Similarly 93 farmers in 5 villages of Gumla district cultivated chickpea crop of Kabuli variety. The chickpea was cultivated on residual soil moisture of rice fields with seed priming technique using *Rhizobium* culture and sodium molybdate solution. The seeds of chickpea were soaked for 3-4 hours with solution of rhizobium culture and sodium molybdate. After complete water was absorbed by seeds, they are dried in shade and then sowing was done. Three varieties were cultivated in Saraikela-Kharshaw district villages. Most of the farmers sold chickpea as green pod (Figure 4) and very few farmers harvested for grain. Due to moisture stress yields were relatively low compared to last year. The chickpea grain yield varied between 860 kg ha⁻¹ in Saraikela-Kharshaw and 950 kg ha⁻¹ in Gumla district during the rabi season 2011-12 (Table 8).



Figure 4. Chickpea crop grown through seed priming under residual moisture and farmer selling green chickpea pods

| District | No. of farmers participated | No of villages | Area sown (ha) | Grain yield (kg ha⁻¹) | | | | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Saraikela-Kharshaw | 50 | 8 | 10 | 860 | | | | | |
| Gumla | 93 | 5 | 17 | 950 | | | | | |
| Total | 143 | 13 | 27 | | | | | | |

Table 8. Yield of chickpea crop grown with seed priming in different villages of Saraikela and Gumla districts

2012 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

During April 2012, soil samples were collected from different locations of Jharkhand (Deoghar, Hazaribagh district) and Orissa (Keonjhar district). Based on the deficiency and sufficiency level fertilizer recommendations were designed for different crops. All the soil analysis results (Table 9) and recommendations (Table 10) developed were shared with the farmers. The soil analysis results showed widespread deficiencies of S, Zn and B along with that of N (as a function of C), and P. Potassium as such was not a problem in Jharkhand, but majority fields were deficient in Orissa.

| District/ State | Village | % deficiency of available nutrients | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|-------------------------------------|-----|----|-----|----|-----|--|--|
| Jharkand | | Organic C | Р | К | S | Zn | В | | |
| Deoghar- | Khairwar | 91 | 45 | 9 | 100 | 55 | 100 | | |
| Hazaribagh- | Kurra | 90 | 55 | 5 | 80 | 75 | 100 | | |
| Keonjhar-Orissa | Rohi | 20 | 100 | 55 | 85 | 65 | 100 | | |

Table 9. Soil analysis results of farmers' fields in Jharkhand and Orissa states, India

| 1 | Crop: Paddy (Kharif) | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|------|----------|------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------|
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 90 | 55 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar- | Khairwar | 172 | 60 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh- | Kurra | 149 | 120 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar-Orissa | Rohi | 51 | 120 | 67 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 2 | Crop: Paddy (Rabi) | | | | | | | | |
| | N | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 120 | 60 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar- | Khairwar | 235 | 65 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh- | Kurra | 210 | 130 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar-Orissa | Rohi | 80 | 130 | 67 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 3 | Crop: Maize (Kharif) | | | | | | | | |
| | N | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose(kg ha ⁻¹) | 100 | 50 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |

Table 10. Soil test based fertilizer recommendations for different crops (kg ha⁻¹)

| | Deoghar- | Khairwar | 196 | 54 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------|------|----------|------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------|
| | Hazaribagh- | Kurra | 175 | 109 | 33 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar-Orissa | Rohi | 66 | 109 | 67 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 4 | Crop:Maize (Rab | oi) | | | | | | | 1,2,3,8 |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 120 | 60 | 50 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 235 | 65 | 42 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 210 | 130 | 42 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 80 | 130 | 83 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 5 | Crop: Pigeonpea | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 20 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | Taluk | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 22 | 54 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 1 | 109 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 0 | 109 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 6 | Crop: Green gran | n and Blackg | ram | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 20 | 50 | 0 | 30 | 10 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | Taluk | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 22 | 54 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 1 | 109 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 0 | 109 | 0 | 200 | 50 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 7 | Crop: Groundnut(Irrigated) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 30 | 40 | 50 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 48 | 43 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 31 | 87 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 0 | 87 | 83 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 8 | Crop: Groundnut | (Rainfed) | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 20 | 40 | 50 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 27 | 43 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 9 | 87 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 0 | 87 | 83 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 9 | Crop: Tomato | | | | | | | | |
| | | | N | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha ⁻¹) | 150 | 60 | 60 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | Taluk | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 301 | 65 | 50 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 275 | 130 | 50 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 112 | 130 | 100 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |

| 10 | Crop: Brinjal | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|---------------|------|-------------------------------|------------------|--------|-------------------|--------|------------|
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 100 | 60 | 60 | 75 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | Taluk | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 192 | 65 | 50 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 167 | 130 | 50 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 58 | 130 | 100 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 11 | Crop: Cabbage | I | 1 | | | | | | |
| | | | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 80 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 131 | 109 | 83 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 89 | 217 | 83 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 2 | 217 | 167 | 500 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 12 | Crop:Cauliflower | I | | | | | | | |
| | • | | Ν | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 80 | 80 | 100 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 140 | 87 | 83 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 106 | 174 | 83 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 19 | 174 | 167 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 13 | Crop:Potato | | | | | | | | |
| | · | | N | P ₂ O ₅ | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 50 | 25 | 35 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 98 | 27 | 29 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 88 | 54 | 29 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 33 | 54 | 58 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 14 | Crop: Chillies (Iri | rigated) | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | P_2O_5 | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 300 | 60 | 120 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 627 | 65 | 100 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 601 | 130 | 100 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 275 | 130 | 200 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| 15 | Crop: Chillies (Ra | ainfed) | | | | | | | |
| | | | Ν | $P_{2}O_{5}$ | K ₂ O | S | Zn | В | |
| | Recommended d | ose (kg ha⁻¹) | 60 | 40 | 50 | 30 | 5 | 0.5 | |
| | Jharkand | | Urea | DAP | MOP | Gypsum | ZnSO ₄ | Agribo | r or Borax |
| | Deoghar | Khairwar | 114 | 43 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Hazaribagh | Kurra | 96 | 87 | 42 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |
| | Keonjhar Orissa | Rohi | 31 | 87 | 83 | 200 | 25 | 2.5 | 5 |

Seeds and micronutrients were made available to 7 locations of CINI partners for conducting trials and also scaling up activities (Table 11) during 2012 rainy season.

| | Inputs for Rainy | v season 2012 | PRADAN-Gumla | TSRDS- Jamshedpur | CINI- Partners Jamshedpur |
|------|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|
| S.No | S.No Crop Variety/nutrient | | Quantity (kg) | Quantity (kg) | Quantity (kg) |
| 1 | Sorghum | CSV 15 | 10 | 10 | 30 |
| 2 | Pigeon pea | ICPL 85063 | 10 | 10 | 5 |
| | | ICPH 2671 | 5 | 10 | 80 |
| | | ICPL 88034 | 10 | | |
| | | ICPL 87119 | 15 | 15 | |
| 3 | Black gram | Т 9 | 396 | 52 | 362 |
| 4 | Maize | Kaveri 2288 | 120 | 60 | 75 |
| | | Bioseed 9220 | 135 | 75 | 180 |
| | | Hytec 5401 | 40 | 40 | |
| | | Prabhat 2201 | 90 | 45 | 45 |
| 5 | Maize | Pusa 3 composite | 20 | 20 | 50 |
| 6 | Pearl millet | ICP 8203 | 20 | 20 | 10 |
| | | Hytech Hybri 4201 | 25 | 25 | 20 |
| | | HHB 67 | 10 | 10 | 30 |
| 7 | Finger millet | MR 1 | 50 | 50 | 80 |
| 8 | Zinc Sulphate | Zinc | 500 | 300 | 2670 |
| 9 | Agribor | Agribor | 150 | 50 | 273 |
| 10 | Glyricidia | Greenmanure | 1 | 1 | 8 |

Table 11. Seed and micronutrient inputs arranged during 2012 for trials/demonstrations in Jharkhand

Trials were conducted with paddy crop for scaling up the improved practice through conducting large number of demonstrations. Addition of micronutrients agribor and zinc sulphate was done along with DAP and FYM in 178 farmers' fields (58 ha) in 13 villages in Gumla and in 371 farmers' field (60 ha) in 16 villages in Sariekela-Karshaw districts, Jharkhand (Table 12, 13).



Figure 5. Paddy crop with and without micronutrient during rainy season 2012

| S. No. | Name of the Village | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) |
|--------|---------------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1 | Dangardiha | 21 | 2.1 |
| 2 | Nayadih | 45 | 4.5 |
| 3 | Kanchanpur | 42 | 9.7 |
| 4 | Saherbeda | 27 | 5.7 |
| 5 | Tetultand | 31 | 5.0 |
| 6 | Sarmali | 12 | 1.2 |
| 7 | Kamalpur | 83 | 8.3 |
| 8 | Rakakocha | 16 | 5.6 |
| 9 | Kadambera | 31 | 5.8 |
| 10 | Koira | 6 | 0.8 |
| 11 | Jojo | 9 | 1.0 |
| 12 | Mosodih | 35 | 8.2 |
| 13 | Dungridih | 6 | 0.9 |
| 14 | Kurshopur | 1 | 0.1 |
| 15 | Baksahi | 6 | 1.2 |
| | Total | 371 | 60.1 |

 Table 12. Trials to evaluate the effects of micronutrients with paddy crop in Seraikela-Kharsawan district, Jharkhand

Table 13. Trials to evaluate the effects of micronutrients with paddy crop in Raidih, Jharkhand

| S. No | Village | No. of Farmers | Area (ha) |
|-------|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| 1 | Mariumtoli | 21 | 10.8 |
| 2 | S. Bartoli | 6 | 1.2 |
| 3 | Sipringa | 11 | 2.4 |
| 4 | Sopo | 13 | 3.1 |
| 5 | Teleya | 24 | 4.8 |
| 6 | Tukutoli | 2 | 1.8 |
| 7 | Unchdih | 21 | 4.4 |
| 8 | Khursuta | 5 | 2.6 |
| 9 | Shahitoli | 9 | 2.8 |
| 10 | Parsa Navatoli | 13 | 3.4 |
| 11 | Patratoli | 10 | 3.6 |
| 12 | Katkaya | 12 | 3.2 |
| 13 | Pogra | 31 | 13.6 |
| | Total | 178 | 57.9 |

2012-13 Post-Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

During 2012-13 post-rainy season, trials were conducted with chickpea crop primarily in the post-rainy fallow regions (Table 14, 15, 16). The required *Rhizobium* culture, sodium molybdate for chickpea seed priming trials was made available in required small packets for the farmers.

| S.No | Seeds | Variety | PRADAN, Gumla | TSRDS, Sariekela-Karshawn |
|------|-----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|
| | | | Quantity Kg | Quantity Kg |
| 1 | Chickpea Kabuli | КАК-2 | 600 | 600 |
| | Chickpea Desi | JACKI9218 | 100 | 300 |
| | Chickpea Desi | JG11 | 100 | 200 |
| 2 | Rhizobium | | 1.6 | 5kg |
| | Culture | | | |
| 3 | Sodium | | 0.4(2.5gm 100 | 0.50(5.0gm 100pkt) |
| | Molybdate | | pkt,5gm 30pkt) | |

Table 14. Detail of inputs provided for farmers through TSRDS Sariekela-Karshawn andPRADAN Gumla during post-rainy season 2012-13

Table 15. Detail of inputs provided for farmers through CINi partners in JHARKAND during post-rainy season, 2012-13

| SI No | Address | Chickpea | Quantity | Remarks |
|-------|----------------------------|----------|----------|---------------------------------------|
| 1 | RDA ,Ghatsila | JG11 | 25 | 50gm Rzbm, 2.5g, 5 Pkt of Sod Mol |
| 2 | SRSMM, Hazaribagh | KAK2 | 40 | 80gm Rzbm, 2.5g, 8 Pkt of Sod Mol |
| 3 | SUPPORT, MANDU, RAMGARH | КАК2 | 40 | 80gm Rzbm, 2.5g, 8 Pkt of Sod Mol |
| 4 | NEEDS, Deoghar | КАК2 | 100 | 200gm Rzbm, 2.5g, 20Pkt of Sod Mol |
| 5 | SHRISTI, Keonjar Orissa | КАК2 | 140 | 280gm Rzbm, 2.5g, 28Pkt of Sod Mol |
| | | Total | 345kg | |

| S. No. | Trial type | District | Crop | Cultivar | No. of |
|--------|----------------------|-------------|-----------|--------------------|--------|
| | | | | | trials |
| 1 | Chickpea cultivation | Gumla | Chickpea | KAK 2 | 95 |
| | in post-rainy fallow | | | JG 11 | 14 |
| | regions | Seraikella- | Chickpea | KAK 2 | 22 |
| | | Kharsawan | | JG 11 | 21 |
| 2 | Evaluation of | Hazaribagh | Pigeonpea | Asha (ICPL 87119) | 74 |
| | pigeonpea cultivars | | | Laxmi (ICPL 85063) | 18 |
| | | | | Puskar (ICPH 2671) | 2 |
| | | Deogarh | Pigeonpea | Asha (ICPL 87119) | 8 |
| | | | | Laxmi (ICPL 85063) | 15 |

Trials with chickpea in rice fallow region

Participatory trials with a purpose to demonstrate and evaluate chickpea cultivars (JG 11, JGK 9218 and KAK 2) in post-rainy fallow regions were done with 250 farmers in five districts of Jharkhand and one district of Odisha. The crop was introduced in the rice fallows after seed treatment with the *Rhizobium* culture. Farmers are getting good demand for their chickpea crop. Farmers sell green plant bunches (2-4 plants) (@ Rs 5-10 per bunch) in the local market when pods are still green with fully formed seeds inside.

Crop cutting experiments in Gumla district in Jharkhand showed that farmers harvested 1520 kg ha⁻¹ with KAK 2 variety of chickpea, and 1340 kg ha⁻¹ with JG 11 variety of chickpea (Table 17; Figure 6). Similarly, in Seraikella-Kharsawan district, they got yield of 1490 kg ha⁻¹ with KAK 2 variety and 1280 kg ha⁻¹ with JG11 variety of chickpea (Table 18; Figure 6). Results clearly demonstrated that chickpea is a suitable crop to grow after rice in otherwise fallow regions and that is bringing dividends for the farmers through additional income as well as by enhancing rainwater use efficiency.

| C N a | Villago | Mariata | No. of former | Area | Yield |
|--------|----------------|---------|----------------|------|------------------------|
| 5. NO. | village | variety | No. of farmers | (ha) | (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| 1 | Beritoli | КАК 2 | 8 | 0.26 | 1480 |
| 2 | Ѕоро | KAK 2 | 18 | 1.32 | 1400 |
| 3 | Parsa Navatoli | KAK 2 | 7 | 0.57 | 1460 |
| 4 | Parsa | KAK 2 | 5 | 0.25 | 1370 |
| 5 | Semartoli | KAK 2 | 3 | 0.20 | 1460 |
| 6 | Bansidih | KAK 2 | 3 | 0.18 | 1420 |
| 7 | Mahuatoli | KAK 2 | 10 | 0.57 | 1400 |
| 8 | Tetardih | KAK 2 | 2 | 0.16 | 1510 |
| 9 | Teleya | KAK 2 | 11 | 0.65 | 1640 |
| 10 | Pogra | KAK 2 | 3 | 0.12 | 1550 |
| 11 | Patratoli | KAK 2 | 3 | 0.15 | 1620 |
| 12 | Gorhitoli | KAK 2 | 8 | 0.85 | 1650 |
| 13 | Shahitoli | KAK 2 | 6 | 0.23 | 1570 |
| 14 | Dartoli | KAK 2 | 4 | 0.27 | 1620 |
| 15 | Sipringa | KAK 2 | 4 | 0.12 | 1600 |
| | | | 95 | | 1520 |
| 1 | Beritoli | JG 11 | 2 | 0.06 | 1450 |
| 2 | Ѕоро | JG 11 | 1 | 0.08 | 1530 |
| 3 | Mahuatoli | JG 11 | 3 | 0.18 | 1220 |
| 4 | Tetardih | JG 11 | 5 | 0.29 | 1470 |
| 5 | Katkaya | JG 11 | 1 | 0.14 | 1000 |
| 6 | Gorhitoli | JG 11 | 2 | 0.13 | 1390 |
| | | | 14 | | 1340 |

Table 17. Evaluation of chickpea cultivars in rice fallows in Raideh block, Gumla district, Jharkhand, post-rainy 2012-13 season

Table 18. Evaluation of chickpea cultivars in rice fallows in Seraikella-Kharsawan district, Jharkhand, post-rainy 2012-13 season

| | | | Kabuli variety (KAK 2) | | | Desi variety (JG 11) | | |
|----|---------------|-----------|------------------------|------|------------------------|----------------------|------|------------------------|
| S. | Village | Block | No of | Area | Yield | No of | Area | Yield |
| No | | | Famers | (ha) | (kg ha ⁻¹) | Famers | (ha) | (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| 1 | Koira | Sariekela | 14 | 2.10 | 1420 | 7 | 0.96 | 1210 |
| 2 | Begnadih | Sariekela | 4 | 0.58 | 1500 | 8 | 0.88 | 1250 |
| 3 | Sherbida | Karshaw | 4 | 1.18 | 1560 | | | |
| 4 | Tetlutanda | Sariekela | | | | 6 | 0.84 | 1370 |
| | Total/Average | | 22 | 3.86 | 1490 | 21 | 2.68 | 1280 |



Figure 6. Trials with chickpea (KAK-2) crop in rice fallows in Jharkhand; Left: Teleya village, Gumla district, Right: Sherbida village, Saraikela-Kharsawan district

Evaluation of pigeonpea cultivars

Pigeonpea varietal trials with Asha (ICPL 87119) and Laxmi (ICPL 85063) varieties were conducted with 110 farmers covering ~4.25 ha in 17 villages in Hazaribagh and Deogarh districts in Jharkhand. In trials, micronutrients B and Zn were also added in addition to N, P, K and FYM. Integrated pest management technologies, such as chemical sprays (Endocil, Dithane and Ridomil), pheromone traps (particularly in Mandu, Hazaribagh and Gola, Ramgarh), and manual shaking of plants were done to control pests.

The crop cutting experiments in Hazaribagh district in Jharkhand showed a yield of 880 kg ha⁻¹ with Laxmi (ICPL 85063) variety followed by 790 kg ha⁻¹ with Asha (ICPL 87119) and 760 kg ha⁻¹ with Puskar (ICPH 2671) variety (Table 19). In Deogarh district in Jharkhand, grain yield of 2290 kg ha⁻¹ was recorded with Laxmi (ICPL 85063) variety and 2050 kg ha⁻¹ with Asha (ICPL 87119) variety of pigeonpea (Table 20; Figure 7).

| S. No. | Village | Variety | No of farmers | Area (ha) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) |
|-----------|---------------|------------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------|
| 1 | Chanaro | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 2 | 0.06 | 820 |
| 2 | Rikwa | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 28 | 0.72 | 950 |
| 3 | Kowad | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 8 | 0.19 | 750 |
| 4 | Kanki | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 3 | 0.10 | 910 |
| 5 | Khapiya | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 6 | 0.32 | 720 |
| 6 | Bali | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 10 | 0.33 | 910 |
| 7 | Hendegarha | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 4 | 0.18 | 670 |
| 8 | Kura | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 13 | 0.43 | 610 |
| | | | 74 | 2.34 | 790 |
| 1 | Jordag | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 6 | 0.26 | 910 |
| 2 | Bali | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 3 | 0.08 | 820 |
| 3 | Kanki | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 5 | 0.18 | 1120 |
| 4 | Chainpur | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 4 | 0.16 | 690 |
| | | | 18 | 0.68 | 880 |
| 1 | ManjhlaChumba | Puskar ICPH 2671 | 2 | 0.15 | 760 |
| | | | 2 | 0.15 | 760 |

| Table 19 | . Evaluation o | f pigeonpea | cultivars in | Mandu block | , Hazaribagh | district, | Jharkhand, | post- |
|-----------|----------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| rainy 201 | 2-13 season | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | S. No. Village Variety | | No of farmers | Area (ha) | Yield (kg ha⁻¹) | |
|--------|------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------|--------------------|--|
| 1 | Simla | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 3 | 0.14 | 2060 | |
| 2 | Bhouradih | Asha-ICPL 87119 | 5 | 0.24 | 2050 | |
| | | | 8 | 0.38 | 2050 | |
| 1 | Khairwa | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 11 | 0.56 | 2310 | |
| 2 | Bedia | Laxmi-ICPL 85063 | 4 | 0.13 | 2270 | |
| | | | 15 | 0.69 | 2290 | |

 Table 20. Evaluation of pigeonpea cultivars in Palajor block, Deoghar district, Jharkhand, post-rainy 2012-13 season



Figure 7. Trials with pigeonpea crop in Deogarh district, Jharkhand; Left: Asha variety, Right: Lakshmi variety

Interventions during 2013-14

A very ambitious plan has been chalked out for Jharkhand sites of action in consultation with partners for implementation during 2013-14 (Table 21). In addition to large number of productivity enhancement trials, focus is also given on cultivating rice fallow regions and crop diversification to vegetables and other crops like maize, blackgram, pigeonpea. Plans are put to promote taking 3rd crop through growing short duration green gram during summer. Water augmentation to support diversification and fisheries are focused in all 4 districts. For efficient use of irrigation water, use of micro-irrigation is being promoted in all 4 districts. In order to cut use and cost of chemical fertilizers, construction of vermicomposting pits in being promoted on large scale. There are plans to grow *Gliricidia* nurseries and plant them on bunds and farm boundaries to generate biomass for recycling to maintain soil fertility. Seed banks are being promoted in Gumla and Saraikela to meet the requirements of improved seeds locally. Capacity strengthening is equally focused through exposure visits, trainings and field days.

The productivity enhancement initiatives are also being scaled up along with CINI partner in the 8 target districts in Jharkhand and Orissa. During 2013 rainy season, seeds were distributed as per detail in Table 22 to do varietal trials.

Table 21. Detail of productivity enhancement trials, related activities and capacity building planned and being implemented in Jharkhand during 2013-14

| | | | Gumla distric | t | Sa | raikela distri | ct | D | eoghar distrie | ct | Hazaribagh district | | |
|-----|---|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| No. | Activity | Nucleus | Sat + SU | No. of villages | Nucleus | Sat + SU | No. of villages | Nucleus | Sat + SU | No. of villages | Nucleus | Sat + SU | No. of villages |
| 1 | Productivity enhancement trials with paddy using micro-nutrients and NPK | 100 | 1500 | 35 | 50 | 600 | 30 | 50 | 250 | 10 | 50 | 200 | 10 |
| 2 | Rice fallow management by growing chickpea with seed priming and other improved management practices | 100 | 1000 | 35 | 50 | 500 | 20 | 50 | 200 | 10 | 50 | 200 | 10 |
| 3 | Crop diversification using vegetables with vermicomposting and micro-nutrients | 60 | 120 | 35 | 30 | 350 | 30 | 30 | 150 | 10 | 30 | 100 | 10 |
| 4 | Summer crop of green gram with micro-nutrients and bio fertilizers | 30 | 400 | 35 | 10 | 100 | 10 | 10 | 50 | 5 | 10 | 50 | 5 |
| 5 | Construction of farm ponds (& fish rearing) | 10 | 40 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 5 |
| 6 | Micro irrigation system to vegetable crops. Drip system | 5 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 |
| 7 | Crop diversification (Black gram, maize, pigeon pea, vegetables crops (upland and middle land) | 150 | 1500 | 30 | 90 | 400 | 20 | 50 | 300 | 10 | 50 | 300 | 10 |
| 8 | Vegetables with vermicomposting and micronutrients | 100 | 300 | 30 | 25 | 50 | 20 | 20 | 50 | 5 | 20 | 50 | 5 |
| 9 | Vermi-compost preparation | 0 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 |
| 10 | Gliricidia plantation and bunds (Nursery raising and planting) | 5000 | 10000 | 20 | 5000 | 10000 | 20 | 3000 | 5000 | 5 | 3000 | 5000 | 5 |
| 11 | Village seed banks | 1000 | | | 500 | | | | | | | | |
| | Human development resources | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 12 | Trainings and Exposure visits | 1 (25 farmers) | 5 (175 farmers) | | 1 (25 farmers) | 5 (175 farmers) | | 2 (50 farmers) | 4 (150 farmers) | 8 | 2 (50 farmers) | 4 (150 farmers) | |
| | Exposure visits | | 20 farmers | | | 20 farmers | | 5 farmers | 15 farmers | | 5 farmers | 15 farmers | |
| 13 | Field days | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | |

Sat=Satellite; SU=Scaling-up

| | | Black | | Maize- | Maize- | Gliricidia |
|---------|--------------------|-------|-----------|-----------|--------|------------|
| S. No. | District (NGO) | gram | Pigeonpea | composite | hyb | |
| Jharkha | nd | | | | | |
| | Hazaribagh | | | | 60 | 2 |
| 1 | (SUPPORT) | 500 | 350 | | | |
| 2 | E Singhbhum (RDA) | 100 | 100 | | | 1 |
| 3 | Deogarh (NEEDS) | | 150 | | 60 | 2 |
| 4 | Deogarh (PRAVAH) | | 100 | 60 | 30 | 1 |
| 5 | Khunti (NBJK) | 387 | | | | 1 |
| 6 | Ranchi (WOTR) | 160 | | | | 1 |
| 7 | E Singhbhum (TSRD) | | 50 | | | 1 |
| | (TSRDS) | | | | | |
| 8 | Gumla (PRADAN) | 350 | 85 | | 190 | 2 |
| | Saraikela- | | | | 90 | 3 |
| 9 | Kharasawan (TSRDS) | 52 | 60 | | | |
| Orissa | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 10 | Keonjhar (SHRISTI) | 376 | 229 | 200 | | 2 |

 Table 22. Detail of improved seeds distributed for varietal evaluation trials in different districts in Jharkhans and Orissa during rainy season 2013

Other Income Generating Activities

Horticulture Plantations and Vegetable Cultivation

Many farmers in Sipringa, Teleya, Parsanowatoli and many villages in Raideh block of Gumla district have done mango plantations. There was serious problem of flower dropping in mango plants which was controlled by foliar spray of agribor for boron deficiency. The farmers were also advised for foliar spray of both zinc sulphate and agribor for good quality and higher mango yields. The recent survey revealed that Gumla is self-sufficient in mango production and no mango produce has come from outside market. The production has gone up in the area with more number of mango plantations have coming up. The mango varieties mainly grown are Amrapali for which yields are very good. Vermicompost and micronutrient application has been adopted by the farmers.

The space between the horticulture plants like mango was cultivated with vegetables like cabbage (Figure 8), tomato and onion etc. During rainy season 2011, tomato was grown in Gumla district under rainfed condition with addition of zinc sulphate, agribor and vermicompost along with DAP and urea The average yields in 5 villages under improved management practice with addition of micronutrients was almost 74% more as compared to farmers practice without micronutrients. (Table 23). Similarly in villages of Saraikela-Kharshaw district, farmers cultivated vegetables like cabbage, tomato and got higher benefits under improved management.

| S. | Village | No of | Tomato Yield | l (t ha ⁻¹) | % Increase | LSD |
|-----|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------------------|------------|------|
| No. | | farmers | IP | FP | over FP | (5%) |
| 1 | Teleya | 10 | 23.8 | 16.8 | 42 | 3.15 |
| 2 | Marriumtoli | 12 | 24.6 | 9.08 | 170 | 9.98 |
| 3 | ParsaNowatoli | 8 | 21.5 | 16.5 | 30 | 2.94 |
| 4 | Sipringa | 14 | 26.5 | 12.1 | 119 | 6.36 |
| 5 | Parkartoli | 6 | 10.2 | 6.92 | 47 | 4.47 |
| | Total | 50 | 21.3 | 12.3 | 73.5 | |

Table: 23. Effects of balanced nutrition on tomato yield in different villages of Gumla district,Jharkand during rainy season 2011



Figure 8. Cabbage cultivation between mango plantations in Sipringa village, Raideh block, Gumla district

Vermi-composting

Vermi- compost activity is fully active and each farmers is harvesting it 3 times in a year, each about 700-1000 kg. There are 87 units in many villages mainly Teleya, Sipringa, Tunjutoli and Parsa Novatoli producing higher quantity of compost. Training has been given to farmers for the preparation of vermi-compost using on-farm waste. Famers have arranged shades on their. The total quantity is utilized for growing the vegetables for which they are fetching good price. Each unit can produce about 350-1250 kg of vermicompost for producing the quality produce for getting higher price. A detail of vermicompost production during 2012 in Gumla district is given below (Table 24).

There are 10 units at sherbida and Jojo village of Sariekela-Kharshaw district and produced about 15 ton which his used basically for vegetable production. Farmers have grown vegetables with vermicompost which they used in cauliflower, tomato, okra and khera cultivation and got good benefit.

| c | | | | No. of | Total |
|---------|------------------|----------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| S No | Name of Farmers | Village | Tank Size | Production | Production |
| NO. | | | | Cycle | (KG) |
| 1 | Sukra Munda | Parsa Navatoli | 12'*4'*1.5' | 3 | 950 |
| 2 | Dharma Munda | Parsa Navatoli | 12'*4'*1.5' | 3 | 950 |
| 3 | Ranthu Munda | Parsa Navatoli | 12'*4'*1.5' | 3 | 950 |
| 4 | Leta Munda | Parsa Navatoli | 12'*4'*1.5' | 2 | 500 |
| 5 | Dashmu Munda | Parsa Navatoli | 12'*4'*1.5' | 2 | 550 |
| 6 | Shivnath Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 820 |
| 7 | Bandha Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 750 |
| 8 | Malu Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 650 |
| 9 | Sitaram Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 1050 |
| 10 | Boli Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 550 |
| 11 | Lalu Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 650 |
| 12 | Bhukhan Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 730 |
| 13 | Maghu Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 550 |
| 14 | Lalku Munda | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 800 |
| 15 | Indar Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 650 |
| 16 | Lahru Munda | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 950 |
| 17 | Mangru Munda | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 850 |
| 18 | Deonarayan Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 900 |
| 19 | Bhagwat Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 700 |
| 20 | Bishvanath Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 800 |
| 21 | Dhaneswar Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 500 |
| 22 | Pantu Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 1 | 400 |
| 23 | Santu Singh | Sipringa | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 550 |
| 24 | Lal Munda | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 800 |
| 25 | Ramesh Munda | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 650 |
| 26 | Jhunu Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 1200 |
| 27 | Kunti devi | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 450 |
| 28 | Jayram Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 1000 |
| 29 | Jageshwar Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 800 |
| 30 | Rupeshwar Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 550 |
| 31 | Birbal Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 1250 |
| 32 | Libin Ekka | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 1 | 350 |
| 33 | Jender Ekka | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 650 |
| 34 | Bishnu Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 450 |
| 35 | Jagdeo Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 3 | 750 |
| 36 | Sankar Oraon | Teleya | 12'*4'*2.5' | 2 | 700 |

Table 24. Vermi-compost production during 2012 at different villages of Raideh block of Gumla district, Jharkand



Figure 9.Vermicomposting units in Raidih block in Gumla, Jharkhand

Biomass Generation for Soil Fertility Management

Gliricidia, N-rich green manure is encouraged to grow as hedge on bunds to produce biomass from soil fertility point of view. During 2012-13, *Gliricidia* seeds were arranged for both the locations by ICRISAT, 2 kg each for Sariekela Karshaw and Gumla and also to SINI Partner locations location Kunti (0.5 kg), Keonjar (1 kg), Mandu (1 kg), Hazaribagh (0.5 kg), Needs Deoghar (2 kg), Pravah Deoghar (0.75 kg) and RDA Ghatsila (0.75 kg) were sent from ICRISAT. During 2012, 10000 seedlings were raised by women self-help groups (Mahila Samithi) and youth club members at Gumla and 6000 seedlings in Sariekela-karshaw district. Women groups were trained for raising the *Gliricidia* seedlings in polythene covers. Seeds are treated with acid for removing dormancy and planted in ploythene covers by adding soil mixed with fertilizer and vermi-compost. The seeds and polythene covers were provided to SHG groups and they have raised the nursery and sold to farmers at nominal prices to farmers. Many farmers planted the plants inside as hedge around their fields.

Earlier in 2010, around 7,000 seedlings were raised by women self-help groups (Mahila Samithi) and youth club members in Gumla (Teleya, Tunjtoli and Parsa nowatoli villages) and 5000 seedlings in Saraikela-Kharshaw (Sherbida, Masoodi and Jojo villages) district which were planted by around 20 farmers and those plants are now of 4 to 5 feet height and ready for lopping and field application. The lopping's of *Gliricidia* were applied by many farmers in paddy along with vermicompost without any chemical fertilizers and got good crop harvest.



Table 10. Gliricidia nursery at Teleya village (L), and Gliricidia planted as a fence in Sherbidavillage, Saraikela-Kharshaw district, Jharkand



Figure 11. Left: Glyricidia planted around horticulture plantation at Sherbida village, Sariekela-Karshaw district; Right: Grown hedge around vegetable growing field area at Teleya, Gumla district, Jharkand

Village Seed Bank

Women farmers have resorted to seed production as a livelihood activity and are thus also assisting maintaining and spreading improved crop seeds in the region. Farmers in both districts have established village seed banks and have stored different improved varieties of chickpea harvested during post-rainy season 2011-12. Jeewan Mahila Mandal women group members of seed bank in Teleya village of Raideh block of Gumla district committee stored seeds of chickpea varieties viz. KAK 2, ICCV 2 and JG 11 after proper seed treatment. Similarly, last year after rainy season, high yielding seed of paddy (Abishek), a drought tolerant early maturing variety was stored. Seed storage house for storing seeds and seed drums have been made available for proper storage. The women SHG's is having funds at around Rs. 25000/- and increasing it mainly through sales of seeds of paddy and chickpea. It provides support to all surrounding ~20 villages. The demand for chickpea seed particularly is rapidly growing every year as most of the farmers are using these improved seeds to grow chickpea and earning good by selling the green chickpea.

Water Harvesting Ponds for Lifting Agriculture

In addition to the activities like soil test-based nutrient management in crops, vermicomposting, biomass generation thru *Gliricidia*, improved crop varieties, seed priming etc; the construction of farm ponds to augment water for irrigation and fisheries has also received good attention from the beginning of the initiative. The ponds (40'*40'*40') are constructed on farmers own land with part contribution in cost by farmer also. The construction of pond costs about Rs 10,000/-. In Gumla district, 157 ponds have been constructed till date (Table 25). During the 2012-13 season, 500 g of fish seeds were released in each of the pond, and by end of a season, each pond contributed about Rs 6000/- to Rs 7000/- for farmers.

With augmented water, farmers have also started cultivating vegetables like tomato, cauliflower, cabbage, brinjal, okra, peas, potato and others during winter and summer seasons and that is also earning them the profit of Rs 8000/- Rs 10000/- in a bigha. With such benefits, the watershed model has now extended to many villages in Gumla district,

where farmers are constructing a farm pond in their own land with the support from government of Jharkhand, NGO and ICRISAT, and improving farm productivity and incomes.

| Table 25 | . Village | wise detai | l of farm | ponds | constructed | l in | Gumla | district | (Raideh | block), |
|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|-------|-------------|------|-------|----------|---------|---------|
| Jharkhan | d during | 2010-1013 | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Name of Village | No. of farm Ponds |
|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| 1 | Sipringa | 6 |
| 2 | Tunjtoli | 3 |
| 3 | Chapatoli | 8 |
| 4 | Teleya | 35 |
| 5 | Pogra | 38 |
| 6 | Sopo | 12 |
| 7 | Mariumtoli | 12 |
| 8 | Ghagra | 1 |
| 9 | Parsa | 8 |
| 10 | Patratoli | 16 |
| 11 | Cgapadih | 5 |
| 12 | Katkaya | 13 |
| | Total | 157 |



Figure 12. Mr. Abraham at the percolation tank in Sipringa with fish culture and onion crop grown with support of tank water in Teleya village, 2013

Green gram as Summer Crop for Additional Income

With new learning, farmers are intensifying their cropping systems. In Gumla district, the farmers take post-rainy chickpea crop after paddy in rainy season. However, there remains a long gap between post-rainy chickpea and next rainy season crop and farmers are using this period to grow a short duration crop – green gram, which matures in about 70 days. During April, 2013, farmers cultivated green gram in 5 villages in Gumla district (Raideh block) in a total of ~3.6 ha area (Table 26). The average yield in different villages varied between 290 to 420 kg ha⁻¹ with a mean of 370 kg ha⁻¹ for 5 villages. This is a crop which is grown with little investment and thus fetches good returns in a short period of time, while being a leguminous crop builds soil health through adding nitrogen and enhanced biomass turnover.

| " | 0 | | |
|--------|---------------|-----------|------------------------------------|
| S. No. | Village | Area (ha) | Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) |
| 1 | Sipringa | 0.42 | 420 |
| 2 | Ѕоро | 0.60 | 400 |
| 3 | Pogra | 0.40 | 420 |
| 4 | Teleya | 0.36 | 320 |
| 5 | Navatoli | 1.80 | 290 |
| | Total/Average | 3.58 | 370 |

 Table 26. Grain yield of green gram grown in low lands of 5 villages in Gumla district (Raideh block), Jharkhand during summer 2013 season



Figure 13. Snapshot of green gram grown in Sipringa village of Gumla district (Raideh block), Jharkhand during summer 2013 season

Capacity Building Activities Farmer Trainings

A number of farmer trainings and farmer days were organized during 2011-12 which were not reported earlier (Table 27, Figure 14 to 17). Around 410 (250 in Gumla and 160 in Saraikela-Kharshaw) farmers were trained during rainy season 2011 on *Gliricidia* nursery raising, vermicomposting, soil test based nutrient management and best agricultural practices for paddy and other crops. Similarly, during post-rainy season 2011-12, more than 800 farmers in Gumla and 700 in Saraikela-Kharshaw were trained in seed priming in chickpea, improved chickpea varieties, soil test based nutrient management in chickpea and paddy, seed storage and best crop practices. The resource persons from KVK, DoA, and agricultural university along with ICRISAT, PRADAN and TSRDS trained the farmers.



Figure 14. Farmers training on chickpea seed priming technique at Sherbida village organized by TSRDS during November 2011

| District | Village | Training program | Date | No. of |
|------------|------------------|--|--------|---------|
| | | | | farmers |
| Saraikela- | Kanchanpur, | Improved cropping, Gliricidia nursery | 5-10 | 160 |
| Kharshaw | Begnadhi, | raising, vermicomposting, seed | June | |
| | Lakhodhi and | priming and improved chickpea | 2011 | |
| | Rakakocha | varieties, soil test based nutrient | | |
| | | management | | |
| Gumla | Shahitoli, | Improved cropping, legume crop | 11-15 | 250 |
| | Sipringa, Pogra, | cultivation, Gliricidia nursery raising, | June | |
| | parkartoli and | vermicomposting, seed priming and | 2011 | |
| | Teleya | improved chickpea varieties; soil test | | |
| | | based nutrient management in rice | | |
| Saraikela- | Kanchanpur,Begn | Seed priming in chickpea with | 15-16 | 150 |
| Kharshaw | adhi, Lakhodhi | Rhizobium culture and sodium | Oct | |
| | and Rakakocha | molybdate; improved chickpea | 2011 | |
| | | varieties, and nutrient management. | | |
| Gumla | Shahitoli, | Seed priming in chickpea with | 19-20 | 120 |
| | Sipringa, Pogra, | Rhizobium culture and sodium | Oct | |
| | parkartoli and | molybdate; improved chickpea | 2011 | |
| | Teleya | varieties, and nutrient management | | |
| Saraikela- | Masoodhi, Jojo | Nutrient deficiencies and soil test | 21 and | 250 |
| Kharshaw | | based balanced nutrition; | 22 Oct | |
| | | vermicomposting; <i>Gliricidia</i> nursery | 11 | |
| | | raising; Seed priming in chickpea; crop | | |
| | | cultivation of maize, pigeonpea, | | |
| | | blackgram in uplands (thru farmer day) | | |
| Gumla | Marriamtoli | Nutrient deficiencies and soil test | 24 Oct | 300 |
| | | based balanced nutrition; | 11 | |
| | | vermicomposting; <i>Gliricidia</i> nursery | | |
| | | raising; Seed priming in chickpea; crop | | |
| | | cultivation of maize, pigeonpea, | | |
| | | blackgram in uplands (thru farmer day) | | |
| Saraikela- | Lakhodhi and | Best practice in rice crop through field | 21-22 | 200 |
| Kharshaw | Kanchanpur | day | Nov | |
| | | | 2011 | |
| Gumla | Sipringa and | Best practice in rice crop through field | 24-25 | 250 |
| | Teleya | day | Nov 11 | |
| Saraikela- | Kanchanpur, | Chickpea and paddy Seed storage | 22Feb | 100 |
| Kharshaw | Begnadhi, | | 2012 | |
| | Lakhodhi and | | | |
| | Rakakocha | | | |
| Gumla | Shahitoli, | Chickpea and paddy Seed storage | 4 Mar | 150 |
| | Sipringa, Pogra, | | 2012 | |
| | parkartoli and | | | |
| | Televa | | | |

Table 27. Detail of training and farmer days programs and number of participants in thedistricts during 2011-12



Figure 15. Field day at Masoodhi village and Jojo village in Saraikela-Kharshaw district in Jharkand on 21 an 22 October 2011



Figure 16. Field day at Marriamtoli village, Raideh block and a display of paddy crop and vegetables grown using of micronutrients



Figure 17. Field day at Sipringa village, Raideh block and a visit to paddy crop and vegetables grown using micronutrients

Similarly, the detail of trainings during 2012-13 is given below (Table 28; Figure 18);



Figure 18. Training on Chickpea seed priming technique and Pheromone trap installation during the month of Oct Nov 2012 at Hazaribagh, Jharkand

| District | Village | Training program | Date | No. of participating |
|------------|-----------------------|---|-----------|----------------------|
| | | | | farmers |
| Sariekela- | Kanchanpur, | Seed priming technique | 15-25 | 300 |
| Karshaw | Begnadhi, Lakhodhi | for chickpea crop and | October | |
| | and Rakakocha | awareness on | 2012 | |
| | | micronutrient usage and vermicomposting | | |
| Sariekela- | Kanchanpur,Begnadhi, | Seed priming chickpea | 05-10 Nov | 250 |
| Karshaw | Lakhodhi and | with Rhizobium culture | 2012 | |
| | Rakakocha | and sodium molybdate | | |
| | | and improved chickpea | | |
| | | varieties, nutrient | | |
| | | management. | | |
| Gumla | Shahitoli, Sipringa, | Seed priming chickpea | 19-20 Oct | 350 |
| | Pogra, parkartoli and | with Rhizobium culture | 2012 | |
| | Teleya | and sodium molybdate | | |
| | | and availability on | | |
| | | improved chickpea | | |
| | | varieties, nutrient | | |
| | | management | | |
| Gumla | Sipringa and Teleya, | Seed priming chickpea | 24-25 Nov | 250 |
| | Marriam toli, Sopo, | with Rhizobium culture | 2012 | |
| | Pogra | and sodium moly bdate | | |
| | | and availability on | | |
| | | improved chickpea | | |
| | | varieties, nutrient | | |
| | | management | | |
| CINI | Shristi Keomjar, | | October | 500 |
| Partner | NEEDS Deoghar, NBJK | Seed priming chickpea | and | |
| locations | KHUNTI, SUPPORT | with Rhizobium culture | November | |

Table 28. Detail of training programs organized in Jharkhand during 2012-13

| | Mandu, RDS Ghatsil, SRMM Hazaribagh | and sodium moly bdate and availability on improved chickpea varieties, nutrient management | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|-----------------|-----|
| CINI Partner locations | Shristi Keomjar, NEEDS Deoghar, NBJK KHUNTI, SUPPORT Mandu, RDS Ghatsil, SRMM Hazaribagh | Installation of pheromone traps and monitoring pest. DVD cd of IPM was also shown to farmers | Nov-Dec 2012 | 200 |
| Gumla and Sariekela | Sipringa, Teleya, Sherbida and Jojo Sharmali | Chickpea and paddy Seed storage training | Feb 2012 | 200 |

Farmers' Days and Field Visit

During 2012-13, farmer's day was organized by PRADAN and ICRISAT at Teleya village, Raideh block, Gumla dist, Jharkhand on 06-03-2013. The main objective of the program was to highlight the advantage of seepage pits for supplemental irrigation for vegetable crops and fish cultivation and chickpea crop in rice fallow was visited by farmers. The officials from ICRISAT, PRADAN, Villages Sarpanch, Gram Seva members, Kissan club members, youth club members, Mahila mandal members and SHG groups from all the villages of the project area participated in it. More than 450 farmers from Bartoli, Pibo, Sopo, Jamgai, Tunjtoli, Tulmunga, Katkaya, Keradih, Shahitoli, Mariumtoli, Sipringa, Teleya, Unchdih, Khursuta, Parsa Navatoli, Patratoli and Pogra villages participated in the farmers' day. The farmers were guided in best practices like balanced nutrition, use of bio fertilizers, Gliricidia nursery raising, vermicomposting, farm ponds etc. Officials from SBI ensured farmers to support for crop loans.



Figure 19. Farmers' day in Teleya village, Raideh block, Gumla district, Jharkhand

Exposure Visit to ICRISAT

An exposure visit to ICRISAT was conducted for 46 farmers from Sariekela-Kharsawan, Bokaro and Jamshedpur districts of Jharkhand during 8 to 13 June, 2013 (Figure 20, 21). Farmers were exposed to improved technologies at ICRISAT campus and how farmers have adopted and benefited in nearby village Kothapally. Farmers were made to visit on-station activities and interact with scientists. Farmers visited on-station watershed and understood the underlying principle. They learned in-situ and ex-situ conservation of rainwater. Farmers were shown tropicultor and other farm implements and how easily they can implement landform management to conserve soil structure and moisture. In current scenario of widespread soil degradation across the SAT, they learned about soil test-based nutrient management to improve productivity. Good discussions were held on rice fallows and farmers were guided about taking good chickpea crop after rice crop. They were told about improved chickpea varieties suitable for rice fallows in Jharkhand. Farmers also learned about improved varieties of pigeonpea, groundnut and other crops. They were also made to visit the experiments by Directorate of Rice Research and discussed with scientists about problems in paddy crop and best practices including suitable varieties for Jharkhand state. Scientists interacted with farmers on integrated pest management particularly in pigeonpea, groundnut and chickpea crops. During their visit, farmers had a hands-on training on making vermicompost and learned how vermicompost use can cut use and cost of chemical fertilizers while improved productivity and soil health. Farmers also learned about biomass generation through Gliricidia for improving soil fertility. They learned about raising *Gliricidia* nursery, planting, chopping and incorporation of *Gliricidia* biomass. In addition farmers visited gene bank of ICRISAT and saw huge genetic variability across the crops. Farmers were also given hands on training of IPM techniques and seed treatment for sowing.

In addition to on-station activities, farmers were made to visit the nearby Adarsha Watershed Kothapally, where farmers have adopted the best practices and improved crop productivity and their livelihoods. The visiting farmers interacted with local farmers and that boosted their confidence that they can also replicate the success story. Farmers learned there how water table of the village is improved with simple in-situ, ex-situ structures. They were impressed with the simple low-cost recharging pits to fill their wells having hard strata. They saw how increased water availability has improved crop yields and enabled farmers to shift to high value agriculture. Farmers from Jharkhand saw in Kothapally farmers' fields the benefits of best practices like improved cultivars, IPM, vermicomposting and others. Farmers witnessed the importance of related activities intended to improve cattle breed, increase fodder availability and that has significantly increased milk production and incomes for farmers. This visit to Kothapally as told by farmers themselves boosted their confidence and they were now planning to implement all learnt technologies in their fields.

At the end of the visit and training program, there was an interaction with scientists to solve their queries. Farmer was very happy with exposure visit to ICRISAT and assured that they will adopt most of the technologies and guide other fellow farmers in the region.



Figure 20. Learning improved technologies to improve productivity and livelihoods - Left: on-station watershed at ICRISAT; Right: Adarsha watershed Kothapally



Figure 21. Hands-on training for Jharkhand farmers at ICRISAT – Left: seed treatment; Right: IPM techniques

Scaling-up Activities in Jharkhand during 2012-13

An initiative "Increasing Agricultural Productivity of Farming Systems in Parts of Central India through Participatory Research-cum-Demonstrations and Knowledge Sharing Innovations – Farmer Participatory trials for Up-scaling with CINI partners in Jharkhand" was undertaken for expanding the technology to other districts of Jharkhand.

In order to initiate activity Dr Wani along with Mr Sudipto Das of CINI visited Kherwa village in Paljor block of Deoghar district in Jharkand and interacted with famers and also visited fields. The farmers told in the meeting that they are getting poor yields and need support for improving the crop yields and livelihood. The soils of the region are eroded and shallow. The main crop grown in this area is paddy in the rainy season and summer vegetables in small areas. The villages also told there is acute shortage of drinking water and woman get water from distant places. Dr Wani advised farmers to grow chickpea with seed priming immediately after rice crop which has been successfully implemented in Gumla and Saraikela-Kharshaw districts. He also reiterated the need to apply deficient secondary and micronutrients and grow green manure plant *Gliricidia* as hedge for biomass generation from soil fertility point of view.



Figure 22. Dr. Wani in meeting with farmers in Kherwa village in Palajor block, Deoghar district, Jharkhand during January 2012



Figure 23. Dr. Wani in meeting with farmers in Kherwa village in Palajor block, Deoghar district and a summer tomato crop with well irrigation in the month of January 2012



Figure 24. Soil profile with very low soil depth and a degraded fields in Kherwa village in Palajor block, Deoghar district, Jharkand during January 2012
Visit of SRTT Review Team to Gumla

SRTT reviewers' team comprising Dr Diet, Ms Varthika Jain, Ms Mala Roy and Mr Kiran Pitare along with Dr SP Wani visited Parsa Navatoli and Sipringa villages on 29th December 2011 for reviewing ongoing activities. In meeting, farmers eagerly displayed harvested paddy grains, and vegetables grown using vermicompost and micro nutrient application. Farmers happily shared project benefits they are deriving through the interventions like micronutrient treatment and vermicompost use in vegetables crops. They also told that they are getting higher yields in paddy and benefitted with additional crop of chickpea which is supporting their income. The horticulture plantations specially mango cultivations are increasing and use of foliar spray of micronutrient zinc-sulphate and agribor benefitted a lot. They were also very happy with maize crop diversification and more returns from sale of green cob. The team visited and saw themselves the vegetable crops, vermicomposting units, seepage tanks and vegetable seedling nursery. The farmers informed that working in a group has eased their marketing problem and were able to get good price. Farmers expressed the requirement of some more water harvesting and soil and water conservation structures for their fields. The review team was very happy and satisfied hearing the benefits of project expressed by farmers during the visit.



Figure 25. Farmer meeting in Parsa Novatoli village and Dr. Wani advising farmer in best practices for tomato crop at Sipringa village



Figure 26. Dr Wani and SRTT team interacting with farmers during the visit

Madhya Pradesh

Productivity Enhancement Interventions

Weather Monitoring

The data was recorded during the year 2012 for rainfall events with the help of rain gauge installed at the on-farm sites (Table 29).

| Table 29. Monthly rainfall received | during 2012 at Mandla and Jhabua in Madhya Pradesh |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| | |

| Month | Rainf | all (mm) |
|-----------|--------|----------|
| | Jhabua | Mandla |
| January | 0 | 0 |
| February | 0 | 0 |
| March | 0 | 0 |
| April | 0 | 0 |
| May | 0 | 0 |
| June | 12 | 11 |
| July | 346 | 625 |
| August | 657 | 448 |
| September | 355 | 158 |
| October | 0 | 25 |
| November | 0 | 20 |
| December | 0 | 0 |

2011-12 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

In order to improve agricultural productivity in the target region, on-farm trials were conducted during post-rainy season 2011-12 (Table 30). The trials were conducted in a participatory mode to evaluate and demonstrate the need based improved technology. The inputs like secondary and micro nutrients were arranged by ICRISAT on a cost sharing basis. Prominent technologies evaluated in participatory mode included – balanced (BN) and integrated nutrient management (INM) involving secondary and micro nutrients plus vermicompost; residual effects of secondary and micronutrients; residual effects of integrated nutrient management involving the use of vermicompost. The BN practice was scaled up in large number of farmers' fields. In addition, water use efficiency through balanced nutrition trials were conducted in Jhabua and Mandla districts.

Table 30. Detail of participatory research and development (PR and D) trials conducted under SRTTin Jhabua, Madhya Pradesh, India during post- rainy season 2011-12

| S.No. | Trial type | Crop(s) | Jhabua | Mandla |
|-------|--|-----------|--------|--------|
| 1 | Balanced and integrated nutrient management | Chickpea, | 5 | 15 |
| | involving secondary and micro nutrients | Wheat | 11 | 15 |
| 2 | Residual effects of secondary and micro nutrients | Chickpea, | 7 | 5 |
| | | Wheat | 13 | 15 |
| 3 | Residual effects of integrated nutrient management | Chickpea, | 5 | 3 |
| | involving the use of vermicomposting | Wheat | 5 | 7 |
| 4 | Scaling up of balanced nutrition | Chickpea, | - | 25 |
| | | Wheat | 60 | - |
| | Total | | 106 | 85 |

Balanced and Integrated Nutrient Management Trials

On-farm trials were conducted on the use of on-farm produced vermicompost as a source of plant nutrients to partially replace chemical fertilizers. Vermicompost was added on the basis to replace 50% of N requirement in non-legumes and 50% of P requirement in legumes. There were three treatments: (1) Farmer's practice (FP) of application of N, P and K, (2) Balanced nutrition (BN) involving application of FP + S + B + Zn and (3) 50% dose of BN+VC (INM). These treatments were imposed on adjoining plots measuring 2000 m². Applications of S, B, Zn and vermicompost were made as basal at sowing of the crop.

The benefit of BN treatment over the FP was evident as increased grain and straw yield of chickpea and wheat crops both in Jhabua and Mandla districts (Figure 27 and 28). Chickpea grain yield increased by 5% both in Jhabua and Mandla, while wheat grain yield increased by 2% in Jhabua and 9% in Mandla. But, the INM treatment of partially replacing chemical fertilizers with the VC proved better over the BN treatment in terms of grain and straw yield advantage. Under the INM treatment, chickpea yield increased over the FP by 11% in Jhabua and 5% in Mandla district, and wheat yield increased by 6% in Jhabua and 8% in Mandla district. The results showed INM approach superior over the BN by way of cutting the use and cost of chemical fertilizers and still getting higher yields than the BN of applying nutrients solely through chemical fertilizers. Moreover, INM leads to a very efficient nutrient recycling through vermicomposting of on-farm wastes.



Figure 27. Effects of balanced nutrition (BN) and integrated nutrient management (INM) on chickpea (left) and wheat (right) yield in Jhabua, post-rainy season 2011-12



Figure 28. Effects of balanced nutrition (BN) and integrated nutrient management (INM) on chickpea (left) and wheat (right) yield in Mandla, post-rainy season 2011-12

Residual Benefits of Secondary and Micronutrients

Keeping in mind the small quantities of the micronutrients required and their residual effects, the participatory on-farm trials were conducted in 2011-12 post-rainy season to determine the residual effects of the S, B and Zn applied in 2010 rainy season, 2010-11 post-rainy season and 2011 rainy season. The 1, 2 and 3 season residual benefits were evident in increase in grain and straw yield as compared with the FP treatment (Table 31).

| Table 31. The residual effects of sulphur + boron + zinc applied in on the crop yield in Jhabua and | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---------------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Mandla di | Mandla districts in Madhya Pradesh, post-rainy season 2011-12 | | | | | | | | | |
| District | Crop | No. of trials | Grain vield | LSD (5%) | Straw vield | LSD (5%) | | | | |

| District | Сгор | NO. OF UTIALS | Grain (kg ba | yieid ⁻¹) | LSD (5%) | $(k \sigma h a^{-1})$ | | LSD (5%) | |
|--|--------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|----------|-----------------------|------|----------|--|
| | | | FP | BN | | FP | BN | | |
| S, B and | Zn applied i | n rainy season 2 | 2011 | DI | | | ы | | |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 2 | 1050 | 1080 | 413 | 1170 | 1250 | 604 | |
| Mandla | Wheat | 8 | 740 | 760 | 19.1 | 1230 | 1260 | 20.2 | |
| S, B and Zn applied in post-rainy season 2010-11 | | | | | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 4 | 950 | 1000 | 65.0 | 1010 | 1050 | 76.2 | |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 6 | 3150 | 3200 | 33.2 | 3460 | 3500 | 69.7 | |
| S, B and | Zn applied i | n rainy season 2 | 2010 | | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 3 | 1000 | 1100 | | 1050 | 1133 | 71.7 | |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 7 | 3130 | 3180 | 26.7 | 3370 | 3440 | 143 | |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 3 | 970 | 910 | 453 | 1180 | 1220 | 330 | |
| Mandla | Wheat | 7 | 760 | 790 | 8.74 | 1190 | 1140 | 187 | |

Residual Effects of INM involving the use of Vermicomposting

The residual benefits were also studied in integrated nutrient management options involving the use of vermicompost in chickpea and wheat crops in Jhabua and Mandla districts (Table 32). The balanced nutrition through chemical fertilizers as in other trials increased chickpea and wheat grain yield over the farmers practice by 2 to 7%. The plot having vermicompost addition on the basis of replacing 50% N requirement in wheat and 50% P requirement in chickpea, resulted a 3 to 10% increase in crop grain yield. The study thus proved that vermicompost should be used to cut cost on chemical fertilizers, through better recycling of farm wastes resulting higher yields than sole use of chemical fertilizers even in balanced way.

| Table | 32. | Residual | effects | of | balanced | nutrient | management | (BN, | nutrients | added | through |
|--------|-------|-------------|---------|------|-------------|-----------|------------------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|
| chemi | cal f | ertilizers) | and INM | 1 01 | n crop yiel | d under r | ainfed condition | ons in | Jhabua, I | Madhya | Pradesh, |
| post-r | ainy | season 20 |)11-12 | | | | | | | | |

| District | Crop | No. of | Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | LSD (5%) | Straw yield (kg ha⁻¹) | | LSD (5%) | | | | |
|----------|--|-----------|---------------------------------------|------|-------------|--------------------------|------|-------------|-------|------|--|--|
| | | trials | FP | BN | 50% | | FP | BN | 50% | | | |
| | | | | | BN+VC | | | | BN+VC | | | |
| S, B and | S, B and Zn applied in rainy season 2011 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 5 | 980 | 1050 | 1080 | 48.0 | 1070 | 1130 | 1120 | 35.2 | | |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 5 | 3250 | 3300 | 3350 | 55.7 | 3340 | 3500 | 3540 | 175 | | |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 3 | 860 | 920 | 900 | 57.9 | 1390 | 1470 | 1460 | 25.8 | | |
| Mandla | Wheat | 7 | 730 | 780 | 764 | 17.1 | 1210 | 1280 | 1270 | 24.7 | | |

Scaling Up of Balanced Nutrition

Scaling up of soil test based application of S, B and Zn along with improved cultivar was done in 60 farmers' fields (wheat) in Jhabua and 25 farmers' fields (chickpea) in Mandla district (Figure 29).



Figure 29. Scaling up of soil test-based balanced nutrition in Madhya Pradesh, India, post-rainy season 2011-12

2012 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

In order to improve agricultural productivity in the target region, on-farm trials were conducted during rainy season 2012 (Table 33). The trials were conducted in a participatory mode to evaluate and demonstrate the need based improved technology. The inputs like secondary and micro nutrients were arranged by ICRISAT on a cost sharing basis. Prominent technologies evaluated in participatory mode included – balanced nutrient (BN) management involving secondary and micro nutrients along with primary nutrients N, P and K; residual effects of secondary and micronutrients.

| under S | RTT project in Madhya Pradesh, India duri | ng rainy seaso | n 12 | |
|---------|---|----------------|----------|---------------|
| S. No. | Trial type | Crop | District | No. of trials |
| 1 | Balanced nutrient management | Paddy | Mandla | 50 |
| | involving secondary and micro nutrients | Soybean | Jhabua | 21 |
| | | Maize | Jhabua | 16 |
| | | Groundnut | Mandla | 5 |
| 2 | Residual effects of S, B and Zn | | | |
| | Applied in post-rainy 2011-12 | Soybean | Jhabua | 4 |
| | Applied in post-rainy 2011-12 | Paddy | Mandla | 4 |
| | Applied in rainy 2011 | Soybean | Jhabua | 4 |
| | Applied in rainy 2011 | Paddy | Mandla | 4 |
| | Applied in post-rainy 2010-11 | Soybean | Jhabua | 4 |
| | Applied in post-rainy 2010-11 | Paddy | Mandla | 4 |

Table 33. Detail of participatory research and development (PR &D) trials conducted under SRTT project in Madhya Pradesh, India during rainy season 12

Balanced Nutrient Management Trials

On-farm trials were conducted to evaluate soil test based addition of S, B and Zn along with N, P and K. There were two treatments: (1) Farmer's practice (FP) of application of N, P and K, (2) Balanced nutrition (BN) involving application of FP + S + B + Zn. These treatments were imposed on adjoining plots measuring 2000 m². Due to delay in soil application of S, B and Zn, these have been applied to crops through foliar application of zinc sulphate (0.5%) and agribor (0.1%) twice during crop growth period (Figure 30).



Figure 30. Foliar application of micronutrients in standing crops in Katangsivni, Mandla (left); and Shivgarh, Jhabua (Right)

The benefit of BN treatment over the FP was evident as increased crop grain and straw yield both in Jhabua and Mandla districts (Table 34; Figure 31). As such crop grain/pod yield increased by 19% in soybean, 8% in maize, 3% in paddy and 22% in groundnut as compared with the FP. Similar increase in straw yield (1 to 20%) was also recorded across all the crops.

| Table | 34. | Effects | of | balanced | nutrient | (BN) | and | farmer's | practice | (FP) | treatment |
|---------|-------|----------|------|------------|-----------|---------|-------|-----------|----------|------|-----------|
| applica | ation | s on cro | p yi | eld in Mad | hya Prade | sh, rai | ny se | ason 2012 | | | |

| District | Crop | No. of trials | Grain/ (k | Grain/pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Straw (kg | v yield ha⁻¹) | LSD (5%) |
|----------|-----------|------------------|--------------|---|------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| | | | FP | BN | | FP | BN | |
| Jhabua | Soybean | 21 | 1150 | 1370 | 51.7 | 1070 | 1160 | 28.3 |
| | Maize | 16 | 2960 | 3190 | 37.5 | 3000 | 3040 | 29.9 |
| Mandla | Paddy | 50 | 3920 | 4050 | 56.5 | 2990 | 3190 | 61.1 |
| | Groundnut | 5 | 270 | 330 | 30.8 | 350 | 420 | 40.7 |



Figure 31. Farmers' practice (left) vs balanced nutrition (right) with soybean crop in Jhabua

Residual Benefits of Secondary and Micronutrients

Keeping in mind the small quantities of the micronutrients required and their residual effects, the participatory on-farm trials were conducted in 2012 rainy season to determine the residual effects of the S, B and Zn applied in 2010-11 post- rainy season, 2011 rainy season and 2011-12 post-rainy season. The 1, 2 and 3 season residual benefits were evident in increase in grain and straw yield as compared with the FP treatment (Table 35). In case of paddy crop in Mandla district, the residual effect were seen as increased grain yield under BN added plots – by 4% in post-rainy-2010-2011 added plots, 7% in rainy-2011 plots and 12% in post-rainy-2011-2012 plots. Similarly in soybean crop in Jhabua, the residual effect were seen as increased grain yield under BN added plots, 13% in rainy-2011 plots and 27% in post-rainy-2011-12 plots.

| District | Сгор | No. of trials | Grain (kg | ı yield ha ^{₋1}) | LSD (5%) | Straw yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | LSD (5%) | |
|-------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|------|-------------|--|
| | | | FP | BN | | FP | BN | () | |
| 1 Season (S,B and | Zn applied | in post-ra | iny-2011- | 2012) | | | | • | |
| Jhabua | Soybean | 4 | 1130 | 1430 | 113 | 1050 | 1180 | 138 | |
| Mandla | Paddy | 4 | 3690 | 4150 | 928 | 3380 | 3450 | 200 | |
| 2 Seasons (S,B an | d Zn applied | in rainy-2 | 2011) | | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Soybean | 4 | 1000 | 1130 | 103 | 940 | 1150 | 100 | |
| Mandla | Paddy | 4 | 3810 | 4080 | 303 | 3310 | 3710 | 275 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 Seasons (S,B an | d Zn applied | in post-ra | ainy-2010 | -2011) | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Soybean | 4 | 980 | 1100 | 79.6 | 960 | 1080 | 100 | |
| Mandla | Paddy | 4 | 3680 | 3830 | 158 | 3290 | 3300 | 171 | |

Table 35. The residual effects of sulphur + boron + zinc applied in the 2010 rainy season on crop grain and straw yield in Madhya Pradesh, rainy season 2012

2012-13 Post-rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

During the post-rainy season 2012-13, participatory trials for impact were conducted on aspects of balanced nutrition, water use efficiency, and residual effects of balanced nutrition (Table 36).

| SNo | Trial type | Crop | District | No. of trials |
|-----|--|----------|----------------|---------------|
| 1 | Balanced nutrient management involving | Chickpea | Jhabua, Mandla | 31 |
| | secondary and micronutrients | Wheat | Jhabua, Mandla | 58 |
| 2 | Water use efficiency trials | Chickpea | Mandla | 3 |
| 3 | Residual effects of S, B and Zn | | | |
| | Applied in rainy 2012 | Chickpea | Jhabua | 3 |
| | | Wheat | Jhabua | 4 |
| | Applied in post-rainy 2011-12 | Chickpea | Jhabua, Mandla | 8 |
| | | Wheat | Jhabua | 4 |
| | Applied in rainy 2011 | Chickpea | Jhabua, Mandla | 8 |
| | | Wheat | Jhabua | 4 |
| 4 | Scaling up trials | Chickpea | Jhabua, Mandla | 21 |
| | | Wheat | Jhabua, Mandla | 78 |

Table 36. Detail of trials conducted in Madhya Pradesh during post-rainy season 2012-13

The scaling up trials on balanced nutrition were also conducted to evaluate and demonstrate benefits on a large number of farmers' fields. A total of 222 trials were conducted in SRTT locations of Jhabua and Mandla in Madhya Pradesh during the post-rainy 2012-13 season as per details in Table 30.

Balanced Nutrient Management Trials

In the context of widespread deficiencies of multiple nutrients, farmer participatory trials were conducted to evaluate soil test-based addition of sulphur (S), boron (B) and zinc (Zn) in addition to nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K). There were two treatments: (1) Farmer's practice (FP) of application of N, P and K; and (2) Balanced nutrition (BN) involving application of FP + S + B + Zn. These treatments were imposed on adjoining plots measuring 2000 m². All nutrients including S, B, Zn were added as basal at sowing time, except N in non-legumes in two equal splits at sowing and one month after sowing. At maturity, yield was estimated both in FP and BN treatments in a 3m X 3m sub-plot and converted into kg ha-¹. The results of trials during post-rainy 2012-13 season with chickpea and wheat crops are presented in Table 37; Figure 32.

Results of on-farm trials showed significant yield improvement with soil test-based application of deficient S, B and Zn plus N, P and K (BN) as compared with farmers' practice of adding only N, P and K in both chickpea and wheat crops (Table 37). There was an improvement up to 20% in grain yield of chickpea, while it was up to 10% in the case of wheat. Similarly, straw yield also increased up to 14% in chickpea crop, and by 6% in wheat crop.

| Table | 37. | Effects | of | balanced | nutrient | (BN) | and | farmer's | practice | (FP) | treatment |
|---------|-------|----------|------|------------|-----------|--------|--------|-----------|----------|------|-----------|
| applica | ation | s on cro | p yi | eld in Mad | hya Prade | sh, po | st-rai | ny season | 2012-13 | | |

| District | Сгор | No. of | Grain/pod yield (kg ha-1) | | LSD (5%) | Straw (kg ł | v yield na-1) | LSD (5%) |
|----------|----------|-----------|------------------------------|------|-------------|----------------|------------------|----------|
| | | trials | FP | BN | | FP | BN | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 20 | 1180 | 1420 | 51.6 | 1450 | 1550 | 40.5 |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 11 | 840 | 900 | 33.4 | 350 | 430 | 42.0 |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 40 | 2810 | 3020 | 48.4 | 2790 | 2940 | 72.5 |
| Mandla | Wheat | 18 | 1110 | 1230 | 33.9 | 1250 | 1330 | 17.9 |



Figure 32. Balanced nutrient managed plots in Jhabua; Left: Chickpea crop; Right: Wheat crop

Water Use Efficiency Trials

Keeping in mind scarce water resources in the semi-arid tropics (SAT) and projected water scarcity in view of the looming climate change scenario, increasing water use efficiency (WUE) in crop production is a priority for scientists. Balanced nutrition is one of the important water management strategies to enable plants to effectively utilize available water and convert unproductive evaporation into productive transpiration. In Vertisols of SAT, landform management like broad bed and furrow (BBF) or conservation furrow (CF) offers significant opportunity to enhance WUE. Findings of post-rainy 2012-13 season trials in Mandla district showed the benefit of BN+CF/BBF treatment in increased grain yield of chickpea by 8% over farmers' practice (Table 38). The increased grain yield under improved practice is recorded from the same water resources as under farmers' practice, thereby increasing food production per drop of water or water use efficiency.

Table 38. Effects of nutrient and landform management practices on crop yield/WUE in Madhya Pradesh, post-rainy season 2012-13

| District | Сгор | No. of trials | Gr | ain/pod yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | LSD (5%) | Straw (kg | v yield ha ⁻¹) | LSD (5%) |
|----------|----------|------------------|---------|---|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------|
| | | | FP | BN+CF/BBF | | FP | BN | |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 3 | 790 860 | | 37.9 | 320 | 370 | 32.9 |

Residual benefits of secondary and micronutrients

The deficient secondary and micronutrients S, B and Zn are recommended for application only once in 2 years. Therefore, on-farm trials were conducted to document the benefits of previously added S, B and Zn. During the 2012 rainy season, residual effects were studied in plots where S, B and Zn were added during 2012 rainy season (1 season before), 2011-12 post-rainy season (2 seasons before) and 2011 rainy season (3 seasons before). The 1, 2 and 3 season residual benefits were evident in increased grain and straw yield both in chickpea and wheat crops as compared with the FP treatment (Table 39). In case of chickpea, the residual benefit in grain yield was to the tune of 22% after one season, 13 to 18% after two seasons, and 9 to 17% after three seasons. Similarly in wheat grain yield, the residual benefit in grain yield, residual effects of S, B and Zn were also recorded in straw yield both in chickpea and wheat crops.

| District | Crop | No. of trials | Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | LSD (5%) | Straw (kg | ⁄ yield ha⁻¹) | LSD (5%) |
|--|------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|---------|-------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|
| | | | FP | BN | | FP | BN | |
| 1 Season (S, B and Zn applied in rainy-2012) | | | | | | | | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 3 | 1150 | 1400 | 248 | 1370 | 1480 | 71.7 |
| Mandla | Chickpea | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 4 | 2790 | 3050 | 193 | 2680 | 3020 | 221 |
| 2 Seasons (S, B | and Zn app | lied in po | st-rainy- | 2011-12 |) | | | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 4 | 1160 | 1380 | 164 | 1460 | 1580 | 100 |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 4 | 790 | 890 | 180 | 300 | 360 | 123 |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 4 | 2810 | 2980 | 100 | 2690 | 2990 | 195 |

Table 39. The residual effects of sulphur + boron + zinc on crop grain and straw yield in Madhya Pradesh, post-rainy season 2012-13

| 3 Seasons (S, B | 3 Seasons (S, B and Zn applied in rainy-2011) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 4 | 1175 | 1375 | 252 | 1550 | 1625 | 200 | | | | |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 4 | 830 | 900 | 92.9 | 320 | 360 | 49.5 | | | | |
| Jhabua | Jhabua Wheat 4 2925 3125 225 2860 2925 590 | | | | | | | | | | | |

Scaling-Up of Balanced Nutrition

With a view to evaluate and demonstrate the benefits of soil test-based application of deficient nutrients to a large number of farmers to bring in the impact in the region, a large number of scaling-up trials were conducted in both Jhabua and Mandla districts in Madhya Pradesh. Trials were conducted in the format of balanced nutrient management trials described earlier. At maturity, yield data was recorded from farmers.

Results of scaling-up trials are presented in Table 40. Data show that farmers recorded 13 to 22% higher grain yield in chickpea and 6 to 8% higher grain yield in wheat by following balanced nutrition as compared with farmers' practice.

Table 40. Scaling up of soil test-based balanced nutrition in Madhya Pradesh, India, postrainy season 2012-13

| District | Сгор | No. of trials | Grai (kg | n yield g ha⁻¹) | LSD (5%) |
|----------|----------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|----------|
| | | | FP | BN | |
| Jhabua | Chickpea | 15 | 1150 | 1400 | 64.4 |
| Mandla | Chickpea | 6 | 910 | 1030 | 115 |
| Jhabua | Wheat | 68 | 2790 | 3020 | 27.9 |
| Mandla | Wheat | 10 | 1140 | 1210 | 30.8 |

2013 Rainy Season Farmer Participatory R4D Trials

Rainy season plans to conduct total 249 farmers participatory trials were prepared in consultation with the partners in Mandla and Jhabua districts, Madhya Pradesh (Table 41). In Mandla district, a total of 62 trials are being conducted with paddy crop; while in Jhabua district, a total of 187 trials are being conducted with maize and soybean crops. The prominent technology to evaluate/demonstrate/scale-up is soil test-based balanced nutrition. In addition, in some of the selected fields, residual benefits of previously added (during last 3 seasons) S, B and Zn will also be evaluated.

| Table 41. | Detail | of trials | planned | and | being | conducted | in | Madhya | Pradesh | during | rainy |
|-----------|--------|-----------|---------|-----|-------|-----------|----|--------|---------|--------|-------|
| season 20 | 13 | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | District | Trial type | Сгор | No. of trials |
|--------|----------|----------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| 1 | Mandla | BN | Paddy | 30 |
| | FES | Scaling up | Paddy | 20 |
| | | Residual (1, 2, 3 seasons) | Paddy | 12 |
| 2 | Jhabua | DN | Maize | 25 |
| | GVT | DIN | Soybean | 75 |
| | | Scaling up | Maize | 25 |
| | | Scaling up | Soybean | 50 |
| | | Residual (1, 2, 3 seasons) | Maize/Soybean | 12 |
| | Total | | | 249 |

Other Income Generating Activities

Diversification including with High-value Vegetables with Micronutrient

In Mandla district, scientist from ICRISAT participated in farmers' day. During farmers' day a group of female farmers of Bharadwara Maal village and farmers from other villages were organized and motivated to start vegetable cultivation. They were thoroughly guided on best practices of vegetable cultivation and assured support throughout the season. Through project, seeds of vegetables and fertilizers such as gypsum, zinc sulphate and agribor were provided to farmers. Details of type of vegetables seeds and total quantity of seed provided in Mandla district are as follows (Table 42);

| Table 42. | Detail | of | vegetable | seeds | (kg) | provided | to | farmers | in | Mandla | district, | Madhya |
|-----------|--------|----|-----------|-------|------|----------|----|---------|----|--------|-----------|--------|
| Pradesh | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | Сгор | Quantity of seed (kg) |
|--------|-----------|-----------------------|
| 1. | Tomato | 1 |
| 2. | Brinjal | 1 |
| 3. | Capsicum | 1 |
| 4. | Spinach | 4 |
| 5. | Fenugreek | 7 |

Three female farmers of Bharadwara Maal village raised the nursery 500 gm each of tomato, brinjal, capsicum and fenugreek (5 kg) and spinach (2 kg). Female farmer's group and other farmers raising nursery were also provided with fertilizers such as Sulton (S), Zinc sulphate (Zn) and Agribor (B). Farmer wise seed and fertilizers provided in Mandla district for nursery are mentioned in Table 43 as below.

| Table 43. Detail of fa | armers (groups) | and inputs | distributed f | for nursery | raising in | Mandla |
|------------------------|-----------------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|--------|
| district, Madhya Prad | lesh | | | | | |

| S. | Farmers | Village | Seed pro | vided (gm | ı) | | |
|-----|--|-------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|-----------|
| No. | Name | village | Tomato | Brinjal | Capsicum | Spinach | Fenugreek |
| 1. | Group of female farmers (Chhatarvati Bai, Kunti Bai and Ginda Bai) | Bhardwara Maal | 500 | 500 | 500 | 2000 | 5000 |
| 2. | Gohra Singh^ | Katangsivni | 250 | 250 | 250 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 3. | Zaaduram* | MawaiMaal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 4. | Chain Singh | MawaiRayat | 150 | 150 | 150 | - | - |

^Capsicum seed not sown; *Capsicum seedlings in nursery damaged due to frost in January, 2013

Prior to starting the activity, training on seed bed preparation and nursery raising for vegetables was organized for farmers. As discussed in the farmers meetings, the seeds were given free of cost to farmers with the condition to sell seedlings to other farmers at a discounted rate. By now, around 1000 seedlings were sold to 10 farmers in 3 villages. The group of female farmers sold seedling to other farmers as per detail given below (Table 44);

| S. No. | Vegetable | No. of seedlings provided | No. of farmers | No. of villages |
|--------|-----------|------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 1. | Tomato | 620 | 8 | 3 |
| 2. | Brinjal | 150 | 2 | 2 |
| 3. | Capsicum | 170 | Seedlings damag the month of | ed due to frost in January, 2013. |

Table 44. Detail of vegetable seedlings sold by women group

The female farmers' group informed that they are able to fulfill vegetable requirement of family and surplus production will be sold in the market. Important advantage of vegetable cultivation in village is availability of fresh vegetable in the same village for which otherwise peoples needed to go 12-15 km to purchase that.



Figure 33. Left: women farmers irrigating vegetable bursary; Right: Fruiting in tomato

Forage Cultivation

A cattle rearing is done in almost each and every farming household in the target region, however scarcity of fodder particularly in post-rainy season is a problem affecting cattle nutrition and productivity. In this context improved varieties of fodder are promoted in the region to ensure sufficient fodder for cattle (Table 45). Berseem as a forage crop was promoted to be cultivated by 19 farmers in 6 villages of Jhabua district. Similarly in Mandla district, 4 farmers were motivated to grow berseem and seeds were distributed. But only one farmer has grown Berseem on about 2000 m² area, while rest farmers could not do due to insufficient moisture and plan to do the next year.

| Table 45. | Detail | of | fodder | promotion | activity | in | Jhabua | and | Mandla | districts, | Madhya |
|-----------|--------|----|--------|-----------|----------|----|--------|-----|--------|------------|--------|
| Pradesh | | | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | District | NGO | No. of farmers | No. of villages | Area (ha) |
|--------|----------|-----|-------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| 1. | Jhabua | GVT | 19 | 6 | 0.72 |
| 2. | Mandla | FES | 4 | 2 | 0.20 |

Animal Deworming/Vaccination and Health Camp

Backyard poultry rearing is common practice in project area of Mandla district. In *Kharif* season, while conducting farmers' day farmers raised the issue of "Ranikhet" diseases in

chicks. Therefore during farmers' day, it was decided by scientist of ICRISAT to organized poultry vaccination camp in the month of November, 2012. Accordingly, poultry vaccination camp was organized in six villages (Katangsivni, MawaiMaal, Mawai Rayat, Bharadwara Maal, Bharadwara Rayat and Padarpaani) in Mandla district. During poultry vaccination camp around 628 chicks/birds were vaccinated.

Similarly, one animal health camp was organized in Jhabua district and about 145 animals of 115 farmers were treated. Two more animal health camps in Jhabua are proposed. Details of Animal health Camp and chicks vaccinated are presented in Table 46.

Table 46. Detail of animal health camps organized in Jhabua and Mandla districts, Madhya Pradesh

| S. No. | Date | Village(s) | District | NGO | Number of animals treated/vaccinated |
|--------|----------|------------------------|----------|-----|---|
| 1. | 18/03/13 | Nawapada Bhandariya | Jhabua | GVT | 145 |
| 2. | 08/11/12 | All six villages | Mandla | FES | 628 |

In addition to the camps organized, still 2 more are planned in Gopalpura and Gadwara villages in Jhabua district to be organized by end of March or beginning of April.



Figure 34. Cattle expert vaccinating, Left: Goat in Jhabua district; Right: Poultry bird in Mandla district

Vermicomposting

During the year 2012, the vermicomposting units were monitored for compost preparation. In Jhabua (Figure 23), 39 farmers (Gundipada-6; Manpura-10, Hatyadeli-7, Gadwara-16) produced 6600 kg vermicompost. Five farmers out of 39 earned additional income by selling 1500 kg vermicompost @ Rs 4/- per kg as per the detail in Table 47.

| S. | Formor Nomo | Villago | Vermicompost sold | Income generated |
|-----|---------------------|------------|-------------------|------------------|
| No. | Farmer Name | village | (kg) | () |
| 1. | RamlaPuniya | Gundhipada | 500 | 2000/- |
| 2. | OmkarDhuliya | Gundhipada | 400 | 1600/- |
| 3. | RamchandraMangaliya | Gundhipada | 200 | 800/- |
| 4. | CheniyaRoopa | Manpura | 200 | 800/- |
| 5. | PunjiNanaji | Manpura | 200 | 800/- |

Table 47. Detail of vermicompost sale by farmers in Jhabua district during 2012



Figure 35. Vermicomposting unit of farmers in Jhabua district (clockwise) – Mr Bhurji Parmar in Gundipada village; Ms Shanu Parmar in Gundipada village; Mr Kailash Sawaliya in Hatyadeli village; and Mr Andru Makna in Gadwada village

Similarly, in Mandla district, 11 farmers (out of 23) successfully operated their units constructed under the initiative and produced 11500 kg vermicompost during 2012 which they used for crop production and cut cost of chemical fertilizers.

Biomass Generation for Soil Fertility Management

Gliricidia is a fast growing green leaf manure tree. The nutrient rich foliage can be used as green manure which adds plant nutrients and organic matter to the soil and increase crop productivity on infertile and degraded soils. It also increases nutrient availability in the soil

due to production of carbon dioxide and organic acids during decomposition of the plant material. Leaves also have insect repellent properties. Keeping this in mind, *Gliricidia* nursery was raised and distributed to farmers in Jhabua during 2011. This year, the plants are being established on other farmers' fields through cuttings from established (Figure 24) plants of last year.



Figure 36. Gliricidia planted along the fence in farmer' Mr Walchand Vesiya's land in Khedi village in Jhabua, Madhya Pradesh

Village Seed Bank

In Mandla district, 2 seed banks at Katangsivni and Mawai Rayat villages are operating. Each seed bank has a committee of 11 farmers to manage the affairs of bank. Seed bank at both the locations are maintaining and distributing among farmers the improved seeds of chickpea (JG 11), wheat (HI 1500), groundnut (ICGV 0035, ICGV 51114) and pigeonpea (ICPL 88034). In Mandla district during rainy season 2012, improved groundnut seed was distributed to 28 farmers in Katangsivni, Mawai Rayat, Padarpani, Mawai Mal and Bharadwara Mal villages. Similarly, improved pigeonpea seed was distributed among 7 farmers in Katangsivni village.

In Jhabua district, after harvest of post-rainy season 2011-12, the "Kisan Laxmi Beej Udpadak Sahkari Samiti Maryadit" operating in Gadwara village produced and stored 600 kg seed of chickpea (JG 130) and 7500 kg seed of wheat (HI 1418). While during rainy season 2012, it produced and sold soybean seed to department of agriculture – 2000 kg JS 9560 variety and 1000 kg of JS 9305. In Jhabua district, "Makka Vikas Samiti" being operated by 20 members at Chota Guda village has distributed 2000 kg improved maize (JVM 421) seed to 500 farmers and replaced traditional low yielding varieties. Details of seed bank with quantity of seed stored after rainy 2012 season are given in following Table 48;

| S. No. | Name of seed bank | Village | NGO | Crops | Variety | Quantity of Seed stored (q) | | |
|-----------|----------------------|---------|--------|---------|-------------|--------------------------------|------|-------------|
| | | Chota | | Soybean | JS-9560 | 42 | | |
| 1. | Makka Vikas Samittee | Guda | Cilota | GVT | GVT | Maizo | JVM- | Expected to |
| | | | | IVIAIZE | 421 | receive 37 qtl | | |
| | | | | Souhaan | JS-9305 | 93 | | |
| 2 | NISAN LAXINI BEEJ | Cadwada | GVT | Suybean | JS-9560 | 29 | | |
| ۷. | Samittoo | Gadwada | | 14/11 | 111 1 1 1 0 | Expected to | | |
| | Samillee | | | wheat | пі-1418 | receive 97 qtl | | |

Table 48. Detail of seed banks and seed stored in Jhabua district, Madhya Pradesh

The seed bank in Mandla district is also storing paddy seed.

Activities during 2013-14

Along with participatory trials on soil test-based balanced nutrition, other related activities are equally focused under the SRTT initiative to have a denting impact in improving farm based livelihoods. The selected activities in general lies in the domain of women, and so are crucial in strengthening women and bringing in gender equity.

With a purpose to capture local market opportunities and improve household nutrition, the plan for 2013-14 encompasses the promotion of diversification to high value vegetables on a kitchen garden scale (Table 44). The women farmers will be supported technically and to some extent financially to grow vegetables on small areas (~100 to 200 m²) as kitchen gardens and increase incomes. Soil test-based balanced nutrient management and proper landform management will be promoted to get best results. The plan is to do handholding of women farmers covering total 1 ha in each of 2 seasons in Mandla district, and 4.5 ha in each of 2 seasons in Jhabua district.

A cattle rearing is a common practice with each and every farm family in the target districts of Madhya Pradesh. Milk is a good source of nutrition for rural people. The management and little incomes whatever come from household dairy are mainly in domain of rural women. However, the productivity of local cattle is very-2 low due to lack of nutritious fodder, health issues and low yielding breeds. Therefore the initiative has encompassed to improve animal husbandry and make it an lucrative enterprise supplementing agriculture. During 2013-14, there are plans to promote nutritious fodder cultivation on smaller fields of large number of farmers (Table 44). As such, fodder promotion will be done in 1.5 ha in each of 2 seasons in Mandla district and in 1 ha in post-rainy season in Jhabua district. Infestation of cattle with worms is a common thing and there are reports that it reduces milk yield by 30-40%, while deworming can be done with relatively cheap medicines. Therefore during 2013-14, there are plans to demonstrate to farmers about the deworming practice and benefits thereafter with 200 cattle in Mandla district and 100 cattle in Jhabua districts. To improve local breeds, artificial insemination (AI) is targeted for 100 cattle in Jhabua district. Backyard poultry is also an additional activity with many of the households and vaccination of 1000 birds is planned in Mandla district.

The soil analysis results have shown multinutrient deficiencies in the target districts and so balanced nutrition is much more important to get higher productivity. Keeping in mind to cut cost of chemical fertilizers and increase fertilizer use efficiency, there is urgent need to include on-farm generated organic nutrient source in fertilization practices. In many cases in the target districts, there are competent uses of on-farm generated residues and other wastes and leave little for recycling into field from soil fertility point of view. Therefore this initiative has taken up to demonstrate/popularize /evaluate biomass generation on field bunds and farm boundaries through nutrient rich *Gliricidia*. During 2013-14, there are plans to plant new 1000 plants in Jhabua district (Table 49).

| Activity | Mandla | Jhabua |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|
| Diversification into high value vegetables | 1 ha * 2 seasons | 4.5 ha * 2 seasons |
| Forage production | 1.5 ha * 2 seasons | 1 ha * 1 season |
| Deworming/vaccination of cattle | 200 | 100 |
| Vaccination of poultry | 1000 | |
| Al of cattle | | 100 |
| Gliricidia plantation | | 1000 |

Table 49. Detail of agriculture related activities planned for SRTT districts in MadhyaPradesh during 2013-14

Capacity Building Activities

Capacity building is the core of the success of any productivity improvement initiative. It is only through capacity building which can ensure sustainability of program, inclusiveness of all and maximization of the benefits. For capacity building of farmers, farmer days are planned one each in Mandla (Katangsivni village) and Jhabua (Chota Guda village) during October, 2012. Farmer training is also planned on poultry vaccination in Mandla (Mawai Mal village) during Oct, 12.

Farmers' Training

During the post-rainy season 2012-13 around 14 formal trainings were organized to enhance farmers' skills in improved management (Table 50). During these trainings, farmers were trained by the experts from scientific institutions, agriculture departments, KVKs in addition to ICRISAT experts. Farmers' trainings were organized on seed bed preparation in nursery, Integrated Nutrient Management (INM), kitchen gardening, vermincomposting, preparation of BBF & conservation furrows and advantages.

| Table 50. | Details of farmers' | trainings | organized | in | Jhabua | and | Mandla | districts, | Madhya |
|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|----|--------|-----|--------|------------|--------|
| Pradesh | | | | | | | | | |

| S. No. | NGOs | Districts | Number of Farmers training | Number of Villages | Total number of farmers |
|-----------|------|-----------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|
| 1. | FES | Mandla | 10 | 03 | 106 |
| 2. | GVT | Jhabua | 04 | 03 | 102 |

Farmers' Days and Field Visit

Farmers' days are organized regularly to show farmers in the field the benefits of improved management. During post rainy season, farmers' days were organized as per detail in Table 51; During the Farmers Days, the Scientists from ICRISAT, Krishi Vigyan Kendra and Officials from the departments of Agricultural, Animal Husbandry interacted with farmers on topics like crop production, use of balanced nutrition and fertilizer application, vermicompost, critical stages for irrigation, plant protection, BB & F and water harvesting.

| Table 51. Detail of farmer days | organized in Jhabua and | Mandla, Madhya Pradesh |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|

| S. | Date | Location | District NGOs | | Male | Female | Total |
|------|----------|-----------------|---------------|-----|---------|---------|---------|
| INO. | | | | | Tarmers | Tarmers | tarmers |
| 1. | 11/12/12 | Chotaguda | Jhabua | GVT | 70 | 32 | 102 |
| 2. | 02/11/12 | Katangsivni | Mandla | FES | 75 | 15 | 90 |
| 3. | 19/01/13 | Mahuda | Jhabua | GVT | 105 | 38 | 143 |
| 4. | 21/02/13 | Bharadwara Maal | Mandla | FES | 70 | 20 | 90 |



Figure 37. Left: ICRISAT scientist and other experts interacting with farmers during farmers' day in Jhabua district; Right: Farmers being shown the benefits of improved management in farmers' day in Jhabua



Figure 38. Left: A women farmer sharing her experience during farmer day in Mandla district; Right: coverage of farmers' day event in local newspaper

Training Cum Exposure Visits to ICRISAT

For increasing the agriculture productivity, adopting new technologies and improved package of practices (PoPs) are the tools. Therefore, to enhance farmers' awareness about new technologies, a three day program of Exposure Visit to ICRISAT, Patancheru was organized from 28th-30th January, 2013. Twenty nine farmers from Mandla and Jhabua districts of Madhya Pradesh participated in exposure visit. Out of 29 farmers, 9 were female and 20 men farmers. District wise, 15 farmers from Mandla and 14 farmers from Jhabua participated in Exposure visit to ICRISAT.



Figure 39. Dr. SP Wani addressing the farmers during Exposure Visit



Figure 40. Dr. KL Sahrawat interacting with farmer during Exposure visit



Figure 41. Dr. Pooran Gaur sharing chickpea varieties for Madhya Pradesh during Exposure visit



Figure 42. Dr. P Janila discussing importance of groundnut and varieties suitable for Central India

Capacity Building during 2013-14

Capacity strengthening of farmers and stakeholders is a key thing to ensure sustainability of best practices to improve productivity and livelihoods. Keeping this in mind exposure visits, farmer trainings and farmer days are planned during 2013-14 (Table 52). Ten new farmers each from Mandla and Jhabua districts will be brought to ICRISAT to show improved technologies and hands-on practice on some good practices. Farmer trainings will be organized on aspects of soil test-based balanced nutrition, seed treatment etc to about 150 farmers in Mandla and 75 farmers in Jhabua district. Similarly, one farmer day will be organized in each season in both Mandla and Jhabua districts.

| Table 52. Detail of capacity building | activities | planned | for | SRTT | districts | in | Madhya |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------|-----|------|-----------|----|--------|
| Pradesh during rainy season 2013 | | | | | | | |

| Activity | Mandla | Jhabua |
|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Exposure visit of farmers | 10 | 10 |
| Farmer trainings | 150 | 75 |
| Farmer days | 1 * 2 seasons | 1 * 2 seasons |

Conclusions

Farmer participatory action research under the SRTT initiative is playing a key role in capacity building of farmers to harness higher productivity and benefits on a sustainable basis. The participatory research for development trials showed the benefits of soil testbased nutrient management, land-form management, improved crop cultivars over the traditional farmers practices. Through improved management farmers are not getting higher yields only, but also cultivating otherwise fallow regions. With new techniques, farmers are also taking 3rd short duration green gram crop during summer season. The water harvesting measures in Jharkhand are augmenting water resources and enabling farmers to shift to high value vegetable cultivation and earn higher profits. The model of agricultural development adopted under the SRTT initiative has shown clearly that current farmers yields are lower not because of lack of technologies, but due to lack of knowledge. So, this farmer participatory action research needs to be spread to larger number of farmers for a real impact on farm livelihoods. In addition to productivity enhancement, other agri related technologies are also being focused to bring in synergy and improve farmers' incomes thru - diversification to vegetables; animal husbandry development thru fodder production, deworming, AI; poultry development; biomass generation for soil fertility; water augmentation; promotion of micro-irrigation. Sustainability of best practices after withdrawal of technical support is a major issue, therefore capacity strengthening is focused extensively thru exposure visits, farmer trainings and field/farmer days. The initiative seems to be in right direction by putting farmers in the center stage for decision making, owning the actions and improving their fortunes.

Success Stories

The implementation of SRTT activities in the past years in Madhya Pradesh (Jhabua, Mandla) and Jharkhand (Gumla, Saraikela) have benefitted hundreds and thousands of farmers to learn through participatory trials and other capacity building measures, to increase their on-farm productivity and improve incomes and livelihoods. The SRTT initiative in the process has produced many success stories, and some of them (described in detail in "Efforts that

brought happiness -stories of change from the people" compiled by Sandeep Khanwalkar and SP Wani) are described briefly as under;

Success Story 1:

Location: Gundipara and Manpura, District: Jhabua, State: Madhya Pradesh (Worms changed thinking of tribal farmers)

Composting is commonly not taken seriously in agriculture in the rural areas. Farmers look at it as long biological process and non-economically measurable outputs. Inappropriate composting of agricultural waste and cow dung leads to other problems in the field. Most commonly, termites can be addressed by a composting method which has major benefits on crop growth and quality and on soil health. The issue is one of attitude rather than technology.

Vermi-composting is one of the most popular methods of composting and was introduced to villages in Jhabua district as one of the project interventions. A well tried and tested method of vermi-compost making was also reintroduced; making sure that the design and the technology was well demonstrated and well executed in the field. A follow-up plan was prepared to know about the outputs of vermicompost application and its returns in field were discussed in the village.

The four-pit-model of vermicompost was devised by ICRISAT after lots of research to make the method farmer-friendly. How this technology was promoted we learn in this case study. The turning point which made this intervention successful is described.

Concept of case documented

How proper follow-up made the four-pit-model of vermicompost a successful intervention is the base of this case study. The concept of vermicompost had been introduced to Jhabua by various agencies but was not widely adopted by the tribal farmers. The one and the only reason was lack of follow-up at field level after introducing the methodology. Construction

of the pits, time taken in filling them and in applying the compost in the field, are the most commonly cited difficulties for farmers in adopting the technology.

The process

Ramala S/o Punia of village Gundipada took part in discussion at the village meeting. He was excited to share his learning and experiences. Two year back when he was told about the four-pit model of vermicompost then he was reluctant to adopt this method of composting at his field. The reason was simple. Who will maintain it and what additional benefit will I get if I construct one vermicomposting pit? Genuine questions from a farmer of a remote village. Answering

The Four Pit Model

- Dimension of pit is 1.5 m width, 4.5 m length and 0.9 m height.
- Partition walls with small holes to facilitate easy movement of earthworms from one chamber to another.
- An outlet at one corner of each chamber with a slight slope facilitates collection of excess water, which is reused later or used as earthworm leachate on the crop.
- The first chamber and then second chamber is filled layer by layer along with cow dung and then earthworm are released.
- Once the contents in the first chamber are processed the earthworm move to chamber 2, which is already filled and ready for earthworms.
- This model reduces labor cost and saves water as well as time.

these questions was not easy for the field team. They patiently answered his queries and explained to him the four-pit model of vermicompost making. This was the start of the battle in the field for the GVT team.

The initial interface with Ramala was to explain about the vermicomposting process. Because he had never heard that worms can convert his cow dung and agri-waste to compost, the entire biological process was explained to him. Interestingly he agreed to construct this four pit model in his field. But he asked for financial support to construct the structure. This was the first success towards the adoption of the four-pit model in this area.

Along with Ramala, project vermicomposting started in these villages. For proper orientation and knowledge building, technical training on verimicompost methodology was organized in collaboration with Krishi Vigyan Kendra-Jhabua, GVT-Jhabua, ICRISAT– Hyderabad. Two years back Ramala made his first vermicompost after receiving training and other support. Following him, five more farmers adopted it and in Manpura ten farmers adopted this method of composting with the project support.

The hand holding support was for making the vermicompost and using it on the crops. Since farmers had never seen such compost, using it was a like a dream for them. The second big issue was to train masons of the village so that quality of the four-pit model can be maintained. These masons were also taken for exposure to a nearby village named Hatyadeli of Meghnagar block of Jhabua district.

After an initial struggle with the community, efforts started giving results when the first compost structure was filled with worms and farmers could see the vermicompost after 75 days, and it was applied in the field. Now farmers of this village are selling worms and compost to nearby villages: worms @ Rs.200/kg. and compost @Rs.4/kg. Now there are 16 vermicomposting pits in the two villages, six pits at Gundipada and ten pits at Manpura.

We build our knowledge

Knowledge building started with the first meeting with the villagers. Follow up meetings, on the job training and exposure visits continued the capacity building. Most crucial were regular field visits and explaining at every step about vermiculture and its application. An exposure visit cum training program at ICRISAT, Hyderabad for two days in January 2011 was a big confidence booster. Farmers learnt about various methods, technologies and management practices apart from vermiculture and were highly motivated.

Impact of using Vermi-compost

"Due to the use of vermicompost, the size of onion has increased in Gundipada" expressed one farmer named Chuniya Vesta. He has sold 1 kg worms to Buriji Basna and 2 kg worms at Opalpura, a nearby village. Ramla Puniya sold 1 kg worm to Ramchand Mangaliya. Farmers are using vermicompost in their vegetable crops such as in onion, cabbage, cauliflower, tomato, okra, methi (fengrek), dhaniya (coriander), goarphali (Cluster bean), cucumber, beans etc. The farming communities of these villages are now producing vermicompost themselves and using it in their crops, vegetables and fruit plants. The key impact of the compost as perceived by the community is:

- The moisture retention capacity of the soil increases
- The productivity of crop increased by 15 to 25%
- The productivity in vegetables crops increase up to 25 to 30%
- Number of irrigations reduced or water saved
- Very good vegetative growth in mango and sapota plants
- Income generation due to sale of worms and vermicompost
- Soil becomes loose and porous

Future Plan

As there are SHGs, it has been planned to sell the vermicompost through co-operative directly in the market, to the organic fertilizer industry such as Kribhco, and in organic WADI project villages.



The end users are farmers, parks, gardeners, restaurants, guest houses and offices where gardening is done. Through proper packing, bagging and tagging it will be sold to the end user.

Farmers' statements:

Ramala s/o Puniya, Village Gundipada,

Chilli, cotton and mango grower

Single irrigation saved but productivity not affected; insect attack was reduced; flower dropping reduced in chilli; shape and shining was observed to be good compared to farmers traditional practice; good growth in mango and sapota plants; income increased due to sale of worms.

Khushal s/o Kasana, Village Manpura

Brinjal, chilli, tomato and mango grower

Larger size of brinjal observed and production increased; income generation due to selling of vermicompost; agriculture waste is also used in crop production; Neat and clean atmosphere.

Punjiya s/o Nanji, Village Manpura, Chilli, Tomato and Mango grower

Irrigation requirement was reduced; the expenditure on purchase of chemical fertilizer was reduced; due to good size and shining of chilli and tomato, the market price was increased by Rs 0.50 to 1.0 per kg; and good growth in mango and sapota Plants.

Shambhu s/o Maniya, Village Gundipada, Chilli, Onion and Mango grower

The size of bulb in onion was increased by 20 to 25%; yield increased by 20 to 25%; expenditure reduced; fast growth in mango and sapota plants; less insects in chilli; crop duration increased in chilli and number of picking was increased (1 to 2 more picking).

Babu s/o Chainiya, Village Manpura, Chilli and Mango grower

The expenditure for purchasing of chemical fertilizer was reduced; less attack of insects; fast vegetative growth in chilli saplings; irrigation water saved and income increased due to sale of worms.

Success Story 2:

Location: Mawairaiyat, District: Mandla, State: Madhya Pradesh

Backyard vegetable production is commonly practiced in the villages by most farmers. But very few take up vegetable farming as their main enterprise in remote villages due to poor market demand, and sometimes the food habits of the area. The Gond region of Madhya Pradesh receives good precipitation every year and has good forest cover. Water is available for much of the year. Unfortunately, Niwas block has a different story altogether with low rainfall, wastelands and poor soil health. The case which is shared here is from this region of Mandla district of Madhya Pradesh. The intervention discussed is implemented under SRTT-ICRISAT project and it was started on a pilot basis in six villages of Katangsivni Panchayat namely Mavaimaal, Mavairaiyat, Padarpani, Katangsivni, Bhardwara maal and Bhardwara raiyat of Niwas block.

This case study has focused upon Mr Chain Singh, a vegetable grower who benefitted from the program through varietal change and change in package of practices, as well as use of bio fertilizers and locally made treatments.

Concept of case documented

This is the story of a farmer who wanted to scale up the returns from his field and was supported once he showed his commitment by taking water from a very small but perennial water source close to his farm. He was doing vegetable cultivation for home consumption. When he saw one of the farmers of his village, a Mr Halku Singh, doing vegetable cultivation and earning good money out of it, he also dreamed to become a vegetable grower and earn good money out of it. But he was sure that he would go for more organically grown vegetables rather than applying chemicals. He got support from the Foundation for Ecological Security project and proved himself by producing quality vegetables and making a profit. He told us that his primary objective was to have good vegetables for own consumption, to earn money was secondary. He could achieve both successfully.

Fresh vegetables from my field

Vegetables were promoted to improve the nutritional status of people in the project area as well as to develop an alternative source of livelihood. The food habit in this area rarely includes vegetables so the individual rarely has a nutritional diet. Vegetable cultivation at a small scale was seen as an important intervention to make better use of available water resources in homestead lands as well as small patches of irrigated lands. The sowing of vegetables coincides with the time when farmers start moving to the cities for employment. Keeping these issues in mind, nine

What motivated Chain Singh

- Green vegetable fields of Halku Singh of his village motivated him to grow vegetable in his field too.
- He felt that his family should eat healthy and fresh vegetable from his own field
- Earn some money by selling vegetable in local market

farmers were selected for vegetable cultivation. Chain Singh was one of them in the year 2009-10.

Chain Singh is a vegetable grower from Mawai Rayyat. The village has low availability of ground water. He is a small farmer with five acres, most of it is un-irrigated. He had a dream to ensure that his family could eat healthy and good quality vegetables. By producing vegetables in his own farm he proved that he is not only a visionary but a strong implementer too. The year 2009-10 was the year when he could say in his neighbourhood and to his relatives: "See these are fresh vegetables from my own farm. Please take some and eat healthy like my family is eating."

Perfect selection

The implementation of any activity and identification of farmers is usually done by the Village Institution, the Gram Sabha. Organizing the Gram Sabha for every decision is not possible; so an Executive Committee was appointed by the Gram Sabha, consisting of some elderly village men and women. This Executive Committee named as *"Prakritik Sansadan Prabandhan Samiti"* (*PSPS*) would take decisions on behalf of the Gram Sabha.

Chain Singh has been innovative enough to use the perennial stream in the area as the source of water. He was not selected for vegetable cultivation at first. However, his effort to divert water towards his barren land through a perennial narrow stream convinced the implementing team of his success. Not only did he divert the water but collected it in a pit

until it filled up. Then he would take his bucket to water the plants. Chain Singh was identified by the Executive Committee which considered him hard working and interested in taking up new initiatives, and believed that he would learn new techniques and methods, implement them and then work towards motivating other farmers to adopt the same.

Choosing the vegetables

The market is crucial for any economic intervention. Both promoter and entrepreneur must have a basic understanding of the market at the outset. This of course is most important for vegetables as a highly perishable commodity. In this case, the market analysis was first done by Mr. Chain Singh as he wanted to start vegetable production with support

Challenges for Chain Singh The major challenge before Chain Singh was to find water for irrigating his crop. He arranged for water by diverting some water from a perennial stream and prepared a pit in his land where he would store that water. He has dug drains to water the plot. Controlling pest attacks have him. been a challenge for Changing climatic conditions also pose a challenge for Chain Singh. Erratic rainfall or frost in winters can potentially harm the plot.

from the project. In a way it was good for the sustainability of the intervention.

His assessment of the market was very good with respect to selecting the vegetables to be grown at his field. He identified brinjal, tomato and potatoes as vegetables with a good demand in the local market. And he asked for technical support to produce these vegetables only. Cultivating these vegetables has developed his self-confidence. According to him, this was the first time he was consuming vegetables that he had produced by himself. Besides, using them at home their production also earned enough income for him. Thus the initial result was very good and motivating.

The way implementation took place

- Formation of village committee for proper farmer identification, to provide support, monitor the plots and verify whether the support provided has been used in properly.
- Soil testing was done. The report showed the soil of the area lacked zinc.
- Improved manure preparation techniques. Notably, the production of vermicompost has spread swiftly in the area after initial successes like Chain Singh.
- Technical support to obtain the right quantity of improved varieties of seed.
- Introducing bio fertilizers, better manure application practices and hand-holding support for implementation.
- Good quality training and capacity building about vegetable production and the use of non-pesticide techniques.
- Regular monitoring

| Steps | Support provided | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Seed replacement in tomato and | Improved varieties of seeds provided | | | |
| brinjal | | | | |
| Seed treatment | Training on seed treatment with Trichoderma | | | |
| Preparing seedbed | Training on developing a raised seed bed of 15 cm | | | |
| | height | | | |
| Soil treatment | Material support in the form of vermicopost, | | | |
| | Trichoderma and PSB treatment before sowing | | | |
| Root treatment of saplings | Training on root treatment with Trichoderma to avoid | | | |
| | fungal infection | | | |
| Transplantation | Training on planting in line at a distance of 60 cm | | | |
| | between two saplings | | | |
| Mulching, weeding and watering | Mulching with vermicompost | | | |
| Flowering and fruiting: Vulnerable | Training on preparing Matka khaad (the decoction of | | | |
| Stage of pest attack | cow urine, dung, jaggery, leaves of plants with | | | |
| | pesticidal properties like Besharam, Ramphool. | | | |

PSB: Phosphate Solubilising Bacteria

Crop management practices and non-pesticide management

Vegetable cultivation requires diverse skills compared to the common food grain crops. Deeper understanding about the production of different vegetables is essential when they are grown as a commercial crop. They require more time and labor than food grain crops. This was the first thing Chain Singh learnt.

Therefore he was oriented on crop management and production in detail. He was given knowledge and input

and off-field before he started with his cultivation.

Stepwise training

- Preparation of Seed bed.
- Transplantation
- Preparation and application of bio-pesticide
- Weeding
- Fruiting
- Market linkage.

support during cultivation. As he had never sown vegetables before, he was trained on-field

Input Cost

| Name of the item provided | Name of the | Amount(RS) | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | variety/quantity | | |
| Seeds | | | |
| Tomato | Anand (10 g) | 240 | |
| Brinjal | JK –kanhaiya(50 g) | 100 | |
| Fertilizers | | | |
| Zinc Sulfate | 5 kg | 165 | |
| Enriched FYM (enriched Farm | 200 kg | Farmers contribution (Rs.600) | |
| Yard Manure) | | | |
| Vermicompost | 150 kg | 600 | |
| PSB, Azotobacter and | 2 kg | 120 | |
| Trichoderma | | | |
| Total cost (excluding labour) | | 1925 | |
| Total cost (including labour) | 100x 15 human days | 1500+1925=3425 | |
| | (farmers | | |
| | contribution) | | |
| Total farmers contribution | 1500+600 | 2100 | |
| Contribution from FES | 3425-2100 | 1325 | |

| Months | Interventions | Vegetables | | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | Brinjal | Tomato | | |
| October | Seed Treatment | Seed treatment with 5 g of | Trichoderma | | |
| | Seed bed preparation | 1m x 1m | | | |
| | Soil treatment | Soil treated with 250 g PSB, vermicompost at the time of | 50 g <i>Trichoderma</i> and 50 kg of f plowing | | |
| November | Seed Germination | Germination in first ten days of November on ment With Trichoderma after keeping the sapling in shade minutes before transplanting | | | |
| | Root treatment | | | | |
| | Transplantation | began while maintaining a c | listance of 60x45 cm. | | |
| December Transplantation | | Transplantation of both the crops completed and watering | | | |
| | and irrigation | was done regularly. | | | |
| January | Mulching and watering | Mulching and watering | | | |
| | Weeding | Weeding operation continu | ed. Flowering began in January | | |
| February | Flowering | About 50% of flowering | Growth in mid-February, | | |
| | | by mid-February, Fruiting by 25 th February | Flowering begins in February | | |
| | Pest attack Treatment of pests by a decoction developed fro available bio-pesticides, cow urine, cow dung, ja | | oction developed from locally vurine, cow dung, jaggery. | | |
| March | Production and sale | 7 quintal brinjal, partially sold for Rs. 7,000/-, the rest for self-consumption | 10 quintal tomato, of which he sold the tomato worth Rs. 10,000/- and rest for self- consumption. | | |

My capacities enhanced this way

With lots of physical exercise exerted in his vegetable production, Mr. Chain Singh has received two most crucial outputs in return. The first is nutrient security and income. The second is knowledge and capacity enhancement about vegetable farming.

| Process | What he used to do before | Capacity enhancement after this interventio |
|----------------|---------------------------|--|
| Seed | Not aware of seed | He learn how to do seed treatment using |
| treatment | treatment for vegetable | Trichoderma but still follow up training and |
| | crops | handholding support for at least two – |
| | | three seasons would be required |
| Root | Completely unaware about | Transplanting of saplings was done dipping |
| treatment | such practices | them into Trichoderma solution |
| Soil treatment | Earlier he used to apply | After training he applied 50 kg of |
| | only compost in the field | vermiculture along with 250 g of PSB |
| | before transplanting of | culture and small quantity of Trichoderma |
| | saplings | |
| Intercultural | Earlier he used to apply | He applied 50 kg of vermiculture and 250 g |
| operations | compost along with ash | of PSB along with Trichoderma |
| Pesticides | Never used any pesticide | Two times used matka khad locally made |
| | | by him after training. |
| Production | Brinjal 7.8 quintals, 1.5 | 10 quintal brinjal, 7 quintal tomato, 50 kg |
| | quintals of Tomato, 20 kg | ladies finger |
| | Potato and 50 kg of Onion | |

Outcome

- Chain Singh observes the success of vegetable production in many ways.
- One is income enhancement from adopting improved farming practices. The income of Chain Singh has risen in that particular year, as he earned about Rs. 17,000/- from the vegetables. However, the major contribution of vegetables for Chain Singh has been for home-consumption and hence improvement in nutritional status.
- Chain Singh also identifies his increased knowledge about the vegetable cultivation as important. For example: use of new types of fertilizers like vermicompost, PSB and pesticides prepared by locally available materials like matka khad etc.
- He also realizes the importance of root treatment and seed treatment. Chain Singh has not been able to continue with vegetable production this year because of health problems in the family. Yet, he is confident of continuing next season with the improved practices that he has learnt.
- Chain Singh's efforts for vegetable cultivation have been exemplary. Many locals of his village have even brought vegetables from his farm in exchange for mahua. His efforts are demonstrably visible in the village as well as outside. Though, one may not be sure exactly how many have learnt from him and applied their learning.

Learning

Chain Singh learnt that without utilizing existing resources at an optimal level it is not possible to grow vegetables successfully. He was not looking only to scale-up vegetable output but also for the nutrition and the taste of vegetables which are grown by using natural inputs. The biggest learning was that business should be controlled and monitored

by one person and s/he should be aware and knowledgeable about market. Summer vegetables are the most challenging due to high probability of grazing damage from the open grazing concept in the region. Free produce for relatives also reduces overall profit and is unavoidable due to social system and culture. Producing vegetables is round-the-clock work and needs rigorous monitoring. He says that *Palak* is the vegetable most easy to produce and *Lauki* the toughest - because of frequent disease attacks and the need to protect against them. Applying chemical inputs is good for production enhancement but it reduces quality, especially taste. Chain Singh desires more training on the appropriate use of pesticides and other inputs in vegetable production. Now Chain Singh is confident about vegetable farming. He is aware about all stages of cultivation.

FES learnt that optimal utilization of resources is possible, provided we understand all the factors that motivate the farmer and all his needs. Not only income enhancement but sustainability in terms of knowledge built about the particular intervention is necessary.

Success Story 3:

Location: Sipringa, District: Gumla, State: Jharkhand

Village Sipringa is located around 18 km from the district headquarters. You start with a good road and end with a muddy road when you reach the village. Sometimes you need to try two-three routes to reach the village as one of them may be broken or some tree is fallen across the road. This is the reality and we will tell the story of the people who live here and proved their worth to win over their adverse situation.

Agriculture is the basis for living for the local tribes, and was identified as the core development arena by the team and interventions were started through self-help group (SHG) approach.

| Villages | Number of farmers | Crops covered | Year |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|---------|
| Teliya (Nucleus village) | 50 | pigeonpea, black gram, groundnut, maize and green gram | 2008-09 |
| Sipringa and Tunjhtoli | 120 | pigeonpea, black gram, groundnut, maize, green gram, tomato and cabbage | 2009-10 |
| 15 villages | 1000 | pigeonpea, black gram, groundnut, maize, green gram, tomato and cabbage. | 2010-11 |

The journey

Steps

As the **first step**, soil testing was done as an essential component of the project. Boron was identified as deficient in the soil a (zinc and molybdenum deficiencies were also found). The soil was found to be acidic in nature with 4.5-5.0 pH.

Discussion with the farmers and explaining to them the concept and importance of soil testing and addressing its deficiency was most crucial. This was the **second step**.

The **third step was to d**emonstrate application of micronutrients in crop production at village level. Two types of demonstration trials were planned. The first with the main cereal crops and the second with vegetables.

Scaling-up the learning from these demonstrations with other programs was the **fourth step** for the PRADAN-ICRISAT team. This was in other interventions like orchard development in these villages with financial support of SGSY, NREGS and Tribal Welfare programs. Micronutrients were applied in fruit crops and results were really very good. Boron, an important micronutrient, was applied on fruit crops for better fruiting and quality production.

Another adoption of learning was in vegetable cultivation interventions which were promoted as an alternative approach with small holding farmers. Application of boron with balanced farmyard manure was demonstrated and the outcomes were discussed in meetings which helped in its replication.

Addressing the issue of input supply at local level

Initially it was the role of PRADAN to arrange inputs for the community, but it was a very hectic and time consuming task. In a meeting with the community it was decided to develop a simple participatory mechanism which addresses this issue. In the meeting it was also decided that PRADAN will provide necessary support to these local groups in linkages. To set up the mechanism a series of meetings was organized with numerous seed and fertilizers shops in the nearby area to ensure timely and quality inputs. The seed shops were ready to give support. The farmers started collecting money well in advance and made regular visit the shops in groups or through CSP. They jointly purchased the inputs and distributed among themselves. Sometime they even give orders to Agri-horticulture co-operative (One of the PRADAN-promoted co-operatives) to supply them the inputs. Seeing the huge demands of seeds, fertilizers and micronutrients, the seed shops were convinced that there is a huge marketing scope for them. So they started keeping these inputs. Now the communities are independently doing this task.

Community service provider (CSP)

The community service provider (CSP) provides marketing support to the farming community of the village and is a person from the community itself. When the villagers started the common nursery, the production started coming at a single time, thus there was a glut in the local market and lower prices. Then PRADAN discussed with the community to find out ways to address this issue. The outcome of this meeting was the villagers selected a person to help them in marketing the produce. It was a charged or commission-based service provided by the CSP to the farmers. The CSP can charge 0.50 paisa per kg of marketed produce. This model not only addresses the issue of marketing but also helps to encourage more farmers to join this program.

Role of Self Help Groups:

The SHGs are the basic platform which implements these interventions. Apart from these programs, SHG plays a different role in:

- Monitoring the quality of intervention.
- Helping other fellow farmers of the area.

- Motivating farmer to grow vegetable crops.
- Data keeping.
- Addressing issues such as free grazing, marketing and credit flow.

Outcome of inputs

In village Teliya overall irrigation was good but a few households had no irrigation in their field. Thus it was decided to address this issue with convergence approach. Farm concept was introduced in the village. Open wells were constructed and lift irrigation schemes were introduced in the village. Now the situation has improved and irrigation reaches up to 80 percentages from 60% before. Better crop and financial support made it possible for 25 farmers to buy their own diesel pump sets and convert their land into irrigated category.

- Boron application is now scaled-up to 100 villages with 1000 farmers who are into vegetable production and orchards.
- Now the market also has started micronutrients which were not available in large quantities.
- Interestingly hybrid maize was the biggest adoption by farmers after a few demonstrations of its benefit. Now a big area is being converted into hybrid maize cultivation resulting in better food security at household level.
- Summer fallow is reducing and an increasing number of farmers are adopting shortduration pulses like green gram. In one irrigation farmer get good production (one kg seed gives 40 kg production) and crop duration is just 65 days which provides opportunity to take better rainy crops. Green manuring also increases production of the paddy crop. Good market rate for summer pulses like green gram @40/kg is forcing factor behind high rate of adoption of summer cropping.
- Increased knowledge of farmers about summer crop and green manuring.
- Formation of farmer's club to discuss about various crops and farming practices.
- One link person is trained from each village on crucial issues.
- Small steps led to a big gain for the villagers of Sipringa. Farming was not new to these villagers but the way they were doing farming was not giving them much return from their fields. Now the situation is changed with more gain from the same land.

Change in agriculture practices

Demonstrating the use of quality seed in the ICRISAT supported project was useful for most of the farmers as they learned the benefits of line sowing to get better production. Now most of the farmers follow line sowing for their hybrid maize crop. For traditional seeds, still broadcasting is practiced.

Overall production has increased and this has reduced the dependency on forest products and laboring. It took 6–7 year to understand the importance of seed and most of the farmers are now using quality seed.

Laharu Pahan, one of the farmers shared with us that now children are also going to school because there is a school within the village. Youths do not sell wood anymore. Inter-culture operation in crops has changed and farming practices have improved. Paddy production has increased from 0.4 t to 1.6 t. Now farmers have food security at household level and do not buy rice from outside.

Practices and knowledge support that increases tomato growing area

- Nursery raising at 15 days intervals to ensure a controlled supply of vegetables so that market does not crash.
- Prepare nursery beds of 3x7 feet each. Apply compost, DAP, potash, and thimet and keep it for a day.
- Next day sow 5 g of seeds. The quantity of seeds was reduced after training farmers who earlier used about 10 g of seed in the nursery.
- Cover the bed with mosquito net to protect the saplings from white fly and also apply actara insecticide. Now they also see the expiry date of the pesticide before use. They also apply blue copper.
- Major shift in transplanting of sapling. Earlier they used to transplant after 21 days now they ensure that by 15 day sapling is transplanted.
- Inter-culture operation they do after 5 days. Apply Potash and DAP in the roots of plant. Urea application is after 15 days of transplanting.
- Farmers also know the names of chemicals, which they use for control of different diseases. This confirms their enhanced knowledge.
- Interestingly they applied boron and the production was doubled.
- Now the number of farmers who want to grow tomato is increasing year by year. The number of farmers has reached up to 100 from the earlier number of only 10–15. This shows adoption of improved practices and better return to the farmers.

Tomato fields of Gumla villages farmers with addition of zinc sulfate, agribor and vermicompost and graded tomato for market

| | Area (acre) | No of Farmers | Tomato Yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | Inc (Rs | ome ha⁻¹) |
|-------------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | Improved [*] | Traditional ¹ | Improved [*] | Traditional ¹ |
| Sipringa | 2.5 | 25 | 83809 | 53281 | 296381 | 133559 |
| % increase over control | | 41.6 | | 88.5 | | |
| LSD % | | | 19 | 9072 | 60 | 007 |

Improved practices: Addition of 2.5 kg of Boron and 50 kg of Zinc Sulfate along with DAP 500 kg, MOP 250 kg and Urea 300 kg per ha

Five practices which brought change

- 1. Seed treatment
- 2. Use of improved seed
- 3. Balanced use of pesticides
- 4. Proper and timely use of fertilizers
- 5. Regular discussion on package of practices and on the job training

Changes

- Twelve households developed mango orchard
- 25 farmers now have Shreeram Honda kerosene pump
- There is one power tiller in village
- Tomato farming area and number of farmers increased
- Improved seed is used by most of the farmers

Challenges

- Farmers, who have land less than one acre, are facing problem in meeting their round the year requirements from their land and therefore they either migrate or labor or depend on the forest. There is need to develop a special plan for such farmers.
- Conduct soil testing for villages
- Farmers still need training on balanced application of fertilizer based on soil testing report

Success Story 4:

Location: Saherbera, District: Saraikela-Khershaw, State: Jharkhand

This is an interesting story about a village where the organization promoted one shortduration crop variety with an integrated package to change the cropping pattern. Its adoption tells of the success of the approach in the remotest villages.

Process of implementation

As with other villages, project implementation was started with soil testing, farmers' training, orientation, exposure visits and demonstrations at village level with sound technical support by the ICRISAT team. In each village a few progressive farmers-cum volunteers were identified and given training to collect information and to distribute project inputs.

The village is remotely located from district headquarters (the poor connectivity puts it in the remote category) and surrounded with dense forest. Interface with wild animals is part of daily life. Sometimes the quantum and quality of agriculture production depends on the damage by elephants and other wild animals. Elephants are the biggest enemy for these farmers. They try their best to keep elephants away from their fields but it is next to impossible.

Interface meetings, focused group discussions with the farmers and prioritization of their demands in agriculture revealed that if they are provided with short- duration crops they would be very happy. This was mainly to protect their crops from wild animals. Also short-duration crops fit in the area with weather and resources to ensure better production

Seed of a high-yielding variety of maize was provided to them. The crop was very healthy. Crop growth was good due to balanced application of nutrients and better intercultural operations as suggested by the scientist. Meanwhile farmers observed that damage to this variety by elephants was increasing. It was not possible for them to save the crop.

The fields of the farmers are located on the link road that connects to other villages of the area. People from these villages also work in the industrial area and there is inflow and outflow of people. When these people saw the crop they asked for green cobs. A few farmers sold and due to a better taste demand soared. Initially farmers were not interested to sell their crop green but when there was attack from elephant then they decided and sold it green and in this way a market emerged at village level itself.

A few days after farmers saw elephants damaging their crops they realized it would be good if they can sell it green. Small sales of green cobs got a better response from the people and

gradually it picked up very fast. Taste of this variety was also good and was liked by the people. Farmers who have sown this variety earned good profit compared to grain.

In this village farmers also keep fallow land due to heavy rains and lack of improved knowledge of cultivation. Introducing short-duration, improved varieties helped them to plan for taking two crops from the same field.

In the post rainy season, ICRISAT along with TSRDS team, planned planting of short-duration kabuli chickpea variety Kak 2 in the rice-fallow land of the village. This was a good experience. Farmers were happy as they tested the benefit of a new variety with good production and better returns by selling green pods. This was done with 16 farmers and the local variety JG 11 with 15 farmers. Yield details are given in the following table.

| Chickpea yield with seed priming technique in rice fallow fields during winter 2008 | | | | | |
|---|----|------|------|--|--|
| Chickpea variety No of farmers Area covered Grain yield (kg ha ⁻¹⁾ | | | | | |
| Kak2 (Kabuli) | 16 | 3.20 | 1614 | | |
| JG11 (Desi) | 15 | 3.10 | 1165 | | |

Demonstration of Kak 2 gave better results compared to the traditional and other local varieties of chickpea. With improved practices, plant growth was good and grain size was also bigger than the local one. When people from other villages saw the crop they asked about the variety and some of them tested it also. The same problem of elephants occurred and people then asked about selling the green crop only due to better taste and big size of grain.

Farmers were very happy with the crop and they were not interested to sell it. When they refused then some people started stealing the crop while passing from the field and it became difficult to control this. Then it was decided by farmers to sell it as green gram and get better prices.

TSRDS and ICRISAT were very happy when they heard about these results of the crop but at the same time they were worried about their data which they required for their own record. Somehow data from some sample plots were gathered and analysis was carried out and shared with the farmers.

Constraints faced during implementation

- Remoteness: Villages selected for the project are located remotely and connectivity these villages is also not good. In rainy season it is really difficult to approach these villages.
- Poor infrastructure: There are few basic amenities within the village. All are dependent on the nearest town which is 20 km away.
- Low awareness: People still follow traditional practices in agriculture. There is no proper operational system which shares updated information with the villagers. Most depend on the market for new information and knowledge. This way one cannot ensure that whatever knowledge they are getting is good and beneficial to them.
- High expectations of community: After getting regular support from TSRDS on

various issues people held high expectation from them. Meeting all the expectations is not possible for any agency.

- Industrialization: Shift from farming to a job in factories is a big trend in this region. This is due to low or sometimes no returns from the agriculture.
- Low return from agriculture: Traditional practices, lack of knowledge about improved practices and limited resources are the main causes of poor returns from the field

TSRDS and ICRISAT focus:

- Soil test-based nutrient management, micronutrients usage for rice and other crops
- Chickpea crop after the rice fallow,
- Kharif Maize introduced in upland
- Land Management, [plowing techniques]
- Low-cost drip systems,
- Vermicompost pits,
- Nursery development (Glyricidia)
- Seed bank

Major crops promoted and their data:

| Effect of Micronutrient application by spray and basal application on Paddy yields Rainy |
|--|
| season 2009 |

| Treatments | No of Farmers | Area Acre | Grain Yield (kg/ha) | % of increase |
|------------------------|------------------|--------------|------------------------|------------------|
| FYM+DAP+Urea (Control) | 10 | 9.3 | 2850 | |
| Control+ MN spray | 5 | 10.3 | 3230 | 13.3 |
| Control+ MN Basal | 6 | 10.3 | 3498 | 22.7 |

Chickpea grain yield in rice fallow fields sown with seed priming technique post-rainy season 2009

| S. | Chickpea variety | Sherabida and 8 villages of Sariekela-kharsaw district. | | | | |
|-----|------------------|---|----|------------|---------|--|
| No. | | No of villages No of farmers Area Grain yie | | | | |
| | | | | covered ha | kg ha-1 | |
| 1 | Kak 2 (Kabuli) | 6 | 21 | 3.15 | 630 | |
| 2 | JG 11 (Desi) | 9 | 36 | 2.80 | 590 | |
| 4 | ICCV 2 (Kabuli) | 3 | 13 | 2.20 | 410 | |

Benefits of micronutrients

- At least 25% production increase in vegetables like tomato, brinjal, and crops like paddy and maize
- good colour of grain gives better price in the market
- vegetable sold on priority basis
- plant growth is good, production enhanced, good quality fodder, disease resistance, health plant etc

Key success factors

- Roadside village
- Wildlife attack: we are taking this success because it forced them to change crop and take care of crop till it reaches to market.
- Better price for green produces like gram and maize cob
- Short-duration crop

Outcome

- Use of micronutrients increased
- Paddy production increased from 0.75 tons/acre to 1.8 tons/acre by year 2008.
- Paddy fallow land used for chickpea production.
- 20 percent farmers are now taking three crops in the village.

| Changes in the post rainy crops | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| | Before intervention | | After intervention | | |
| Name of crop | Area (Area in acre) | Yield (qntl./Acre) | Area (Area in acre) | Yield (qntl./Acre) | |
| Oil seeds | - | - | 5.20 | 3.00 | |
| Pulses | 14 | 6 | 6.50 | 4.00 | |
| Vegetables | 0.90 | 6 | 2.50 | 35.00 | |
| Wheat | - | - | 4.00 | 7.00 | |
| Potato | - | - | 2.00 | 25.00 | |

Future challenges

- Sustainable availability of micronutrients at local level with good quality.
- How to shift young generation from doing labor to agriculture in industrial areas.
- Still only a few farmers are using micronutrients in some villages
- Wild life: wolves eat the groundnut crop and elephants destroy the pigeonpea crop

About **ICRISAT**

ICRÍSAT **International Crops Research Institute** for the Semi-Arid Tropics Science with a human face

The International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT) is a non-profit, non-political organization that conducts agricultural research for development in Asia and sub-Saharan Africa with a wide array of partners throughout the world. Covering 6.5 million square kilometers of land in 55 countries, the semi-arid tropics have over 2 billion people, of whom 644 million are the poorest of the poor. ICRISAT innovations help the dryland poor move from poverty to prosperity by harnessing markets while managing risks - a strategy called Inclusive Market-Oriented Development (IMOD).

ICRISAT is headquartered in Patancheru near Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh, India, with two regional hubs and five country offices in sub-Saharan Africa. It is a member of the CGIAR Consortium. CGIAR is a global research partnership for a food secure future.

ICRISAT-Patancheru (Headquarters) Patancheru 502 324 Andhra Pradesh, India Tel +91 40 30713071

ICRISAT-Bamako (Regional hub WCA) BP 320 Bamako, Mali

ICRISAT-Bulawayo Matopos Research Station PO Box 776 Bulawayo, Zimbabwe

ICRISAT-Liaison Office CG Centers Block NASC Complex Dev Prakash Shastri Marg New Delhi 110 012, India

> ICRISAT- Kano PMB 3491 Sabo Bakin Zuwo Road Tarauni, Kano, Nigeria

CGIAR

ICRISAT-Lilongwe Chitedze Agricultural **Research Station** PO Box 1096 Lilongwe, Malawi

ICRISAT is a member of the CGIAR Consortium

ICRISAT-Nairobi (Regional hub ESA) PO Box 39063, Nairobi, Kenya

ICRISAT-Niamey BP 12404 Niamey, Niger (Via Paris)

ICRISAT-Maputo c/o IIAM, Av. das FPLM No 2698 Caixa Postal 1906 Maputo, Mozambique